



The Academic Senate

CITY COLLEGE OF SAN FRANCISCO

50 Phelan Avenue, Box E-202, San Francisco, CA 94112 • (415) 239-3611

www.ccsf.edu/academic-senate • email: asenate@ccsf.edu

Curriculum • Degree Requirements • Grading Policies • Program Development • Student Prep & Success • Governance
Accreditation • Professional Development • Program Review • Planning & Budgeting Processes • Others as agreed

CCSF Academic Senate Executive Council FINAL NOTES

Wednesday, June 24, 2015, 2:30 - 5:00 p.m.

Ocean Campus, Visual Arts Room 115

2015–2016 Council Members Present: Steven Brown, Lenny Carlson, Neela Chatterjee, Dayo Diggs, Donna Hayes, Maria Heredia, Mandy Liang, Lillian Marrujo-Duck, Sheila McFarland, Wendy Miller, Madeline Mueller, Pablo Rodriguez, Lisa Romano, Coni Staff.

2015–2016 Council Members Absent: Susan Berston, Korey Brunetti, Ms. Bob Davis, Cynthia Dewar, Matthew Duckworth, Lawrence Edwardson, Veronica Feliu, Dana Jae Labrecque, Jim Long, Ghislaine Maz'e, Amy McLanahan, Carol Reitan, Todd Rigg Carriero, Denise Selleck.

Other Senate Members Present: Monica Bosson, Wendy Kaufmyn, Lancelot Kao, Francine Podenski, Ellen Wall.

Guests: Steve Bruckman Susan Lamb.

I. Call to Order. No quorum. Discussion only.

II. Public Comment

Welcome Interim Chancellor Lamb

III. Officers' Reports. None

IV. Old Business

A. Reconsideration/Injunction Updates

- Injunction Process: this is the first time a California community college is writing the injunction document, so there is no clear guidelines and example to follow.
- CCSF has done tremendous work and the College should not be placed on termination. We need more time to close the loop.

Discussion/feedback from faculty:

- The timeline that the College needs to work on the injunction response is challenging in the summer when most faculty are out of the office and not available to provide feedback and comprehensive evidence to support the injunction process.

- The decision was limited to the procedural due process that CCSF was not given sufficient time to respond to the additional deficiencies. But the decision should also focus on the substantive evidence.
- CCSF Counsel pointed out that Judge Karnow was very clear on not judging on the decision ACCJC made. Judge Karnow was clear about the fact that CCSF did not receive proper due process to address the deficiencies.
- It's important to keep the evidence in case future trials are necessary. There are only 48 hours left when the injunction document is due; however, this is not the end of the battle.
- Every piece of evidence is very important in supporting what we do at the College. If we have to file a federal lawsuit, all evidence will need to be presented.
- The Department Chairs Council (DCC) sponsored college-wide dialogues to discuss SLOs and how the College helps students learn. The former SLO Coordinator should have the videos and information. DCC have many discussions and meetings about the SLOs.
- There are flex professional development activities on SLOs college-wide and by divisions.
- ACCJC argues that the SLO evidence cannot be found on the CCSF website, but they are not willing to look for the evidence including SLO binders in department offices.
- What can we do to help if the Commission is not going to overturn their decision? The Commission made its decision based on the team report and evidence they had collected. Now, it's our opportunity to provide as much evidence as possible. The College needs evidence that directly address the 10 deficiencies. We need to gather evidence that is most useful in addressing the 10 deficiencies. CCSF had an opportunity to submit evidence to the Commission on the other 40 recommendations but the College did not have an opportunity to submit evidence that address the 10 deficiencies. The cycle was not able to be completed because of the new governance process. What is needed is the evidence from 2012-13.
- In 2012, the loop was not concluded, referring to the planning, program review, and how the institution closes the loop by engaging itself in the continuous quality improvement cycle. SLO was only a tool. The focus is not only on SLOs but on the institutional planning. We need evidence to show how the institution, as a whole and not in any specific department, closes the loop in institutional review and planning. The Commission is looking for a standardized process that the institution is closing the loop. All evidence such as program review, meeting minutes, and data must be well-organized in a systematic way for all institutional processes. The problem was that the governance system from July 2012 to Spring 2013 was suspended.
- A group of faculty had volunteered to be the person responsible for gathering all relevant evidence to address each of the 10 deficiencies. Questions and information will be submitted to the CCSF Counsel and the Academic Senate President.

V. New Business

- A. PGC Operational Guidelines Review 1st Read: Postpone to future agenda because there was no quorum.

**Next Academic Senate Meeting
Friday, August 14, 2015, Ocean Campus, Diego Rivera Theater
Plenary 8:00 to 9:00 a.m.**