## SAN FRANCISCO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE MANUAL

Title: BOARD POLICY AND ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES	Number: AP 1.15
Legal Authority: Education Code Section 70902	CCLC Number: AP 2410 Related to CCLC AP 2410

NOTE: THIS IS A NEW ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE

The Board of Trustees reviews policies (and associated procedures) within an 8-year cycle or more frequently as needed when circumstances arise, such as or in accordance with changes to legal or regulatory requirements.

The Chancellor will designate a Cabinet-level administrator as the policy review facilitator to work closely with the administrator(s) responsible for the areas affected by the particular policy.

The College's Roles, Responsibilities, and Processes Handbook ("RRP Handbook," available on the <u>participatory governance website</u>) details the standard review process for Board Policies and Administrative Procedures (this applies to new or substantially revised policies or procedures).

The standard review process allows for Participatory Governance Standing Committee input (where applicable) and constituent input prior to review by the Participatory Governance Council, and ensures that the College relies primarily on Academic Senate recommendations regarding policies and administrative procedures that are in 10+1 areas of academic and professional matters.

When policies or administrative procedures require minor or no changes, the individual facilitating the policy review may prepare a list of policies/procedures for expedited review. Expedited review does not require constituent input prior to review by the Participatory Governance Council.

After receiving a PGC recommendation, the Chancellor recommends policies to the Board and adopts procedures. The Board approves policies and receives procedures as information items.

**Commented [KC1]:** Modified to address concern raised by Academic Senate 4/12.

Commented [KC2]: Note that this is a proposed expansion from a 5-year review cycle to 8 years. The justification for this is that ACCJC is moving toward an 8-year review cycle. If that period of time is considered appropriate for regular quality review, it seems appropriate to extend our review to that same time period.

**Commented [KC3]:** Change recommended by Classified Senate 4/12.

**Commented [KC4]:** Added to address a concern raised by the Academic Senate 4/12.

**Commented [KC5]:** Added based on Academic Senate conversation on 4/12 and further consultation with Academic Senate President Sapienza on 4/13.

Recommended by Participatory Governance Council:	Page 1 of 1
Approved by Chancellor:	