

Financial Statements
June 30, 2022
San Francisco
Community College District



Independent Auditor's Report	1
Management's Discussion and Analysis	5
Basic Financial Statements	
Primary Government Statement of Net Position	
Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position	
Statement of Cash FlowsFiduciary Fund	
Statement of Net Position	
Notes to Financial Statements	
Required Supplementary Information	20
Schedule of Changes in the District's Net OPEB Liability and Related Ratios – District Plan	66
Schedule of OPEB Investment Returns	
Schedule of Changes in the District's Total OPEB Liability and Related Ratios – Bookstore Plan	68
Schedule of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net OPEB Liability – MPP Program	69
Schedule of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability	
Schedule of the District's Contributions for Pensions	
Note to Required Supplementary Information	73
Supplementary Information	
District Organization	
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	
Schedule of Expenditures of State Awards	
Schedule of Workload Measures for State General Apportionment Annual (Actual) Attendance	
Reconciliation of Education Code Section 84362 (50 Percent Law) Calculation	
Proposition 30 Education Protection Account (EPA) Expenditure Report	
Reconciliation of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Net Position	
Independent Auditor's Reports	07
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing</i>	
Standards	
over Compliance Required by the Uniform GuidanceIndependent Auditor's Report on State Compliance	
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	
Summary of Auditor's Results	97
Financial Statement Findings and Recommendations	
Federal Awards Findings and Questioned Costs	
State Compliance Findings and Questioned Costs	. 100
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	. 101



## **Independent Auditor's Report**

Board of Trustees San Francisco Community College District San Francisco, California

## **Report on the Audit of the Financial Statements**

#### **Opinions**

We have audited the financial statements of the business-type activities and the remaining fund information of the San Francisco Community College District (the District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2022, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the business-type activities and the remaining fund information of the District, as of June 30, 2022, and the respective changes in financial position, and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## **Basis for Opinions**

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS) and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (*Government Auditing Standards*). Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements section of our report. We are required to be independent of the District, and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

#### Responsibilities of Management for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the District's ability to continue as a going concern for twelve months beyond the financial statement date, including any currently known information that may raise substantial doubt shortly thereafter.

## Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinions. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS and *Government Auditing Standards* will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the financial statements.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS and Government Auditing Standards, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit
  procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an
  opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is
  expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant
  accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the
  financial statements.
- Conclude whether, in our judgment, there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the District's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control-related matters that we identified during the audit.

## **Required Supplementary Information**

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages 5 through 13 and other required supplementary schedules on pages 66 through 72 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

## Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. The accompanying supplementary information, including the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, as required by the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance), and other supplementary information listed in the table of contents, is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and other supplementary information listed in the table of content are fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

## Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated January 26, 2023 on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Rancho Cucamonga, California

Esde Saelly LLP

January 26, 2023

# San Francisco Community College District

Management's Discussion and Analysis June 30, 2022

The following section, Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A), of the San Francisco Community College District's (the District) Annual Financial Report, is management's narrative overview and analysis of the financial condition and activities of the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022. The District's financial statements are presented based on the Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Codification Section (Cod. Sec.) 2200-101 and Code Sec 5 business-type activities model.

#### **DISTRICT OVERVIEW**

San Francisco Community College District's financial statements are presented in accordance with GASB Cod. Sec. 2200-101 and Cod. Sec. Co5. These statements allow for the presentation of financial activity and results of operations focusing on the District as a whole. The government-wide financial statements present the overall results of operations whereby all of the District's activities are consolidated into one total versus the presentation by fund type.

The focus of the Statement of Net Position is on assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, deferred inflows of resources, and the difference between these measurement groups and is reported as of June 30, 2022. This statement combines and consolidates current financial resources with capital assets and long-term liabilities.

The Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position focuses on the costs of the District's operational activities with revenues and expense categorized as operating and nonoperating, and expenses reported by natural classification for fiscal period July 1, 2021 and through June 30, 2022. The Statement of Cash Flows provides an analysis of the sources and uses of cash within the operations of the District for the fiscal period July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022.

#### **ANALYSIS OF NET POSITION – FISCAL YEAR 2022**

The Statement of Net Position can serve as a useful indicator of a government agency's financial position. The comparative Statement of Net Position schedule compares the past two years and is based on the business-type activities model.

Table 1

	2022 2021		Change
Assets			
Cash and investments	\$ 388,579,372	\$ 382,646,203	\$ 5,933,169
Receivables, net	27,789,869	36,810,490	(9,020,621)
Other current assets	9,421,125	9,576,620	(155,495)
Capital assets, net	335,594,422	329,695,546	5,898,876
Total assets	761,384,788	758,728,859	2,655,929
Deferred Outflows of Resources	82,411,410	66,560,897	15,850,513
Liabilities			
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	62,330,641	48,473,642	13,856,999
Current portion of long-term liabilities	40,426,435	42,482,768	(2,056,333)
Noncurrent portion of long-term liabilities	644,585,030	851,401,026	(206,815,996)
Total liabilities	747,342,106	942,357,436	(195,015,330)
Deferred Inflows of Resources	174,434,711	4,054,505	170,380,206
Net Position (Deficit)			
Net investment in capital assets	132,486,351	109,669,880	22,816,471
Restricted	73,295,165	64,957,485	8,337,680
Unrestricted (deficit)	(283,762,135)	(332,240,650)	48,478,515
Total net position (deficit)	\$ (77,980,619)	\$ (157,613,285)	\$ 79,632,666

Cash, investments, and short-term receivables decreased from the prior year by \$3.1 million, or 1%. Individual component changes are as follows: Cash and investments increased from the prior year by \$5.9 million while receivables decreased by \$9.0 million.

Net capital assets increased \$5.9 million or 2% from the prior year, primarily due to a \$27.2 million increase in capital assets, non-depreciable and depreciable and depreciation of \$21.3 million on existing assets.

# San Francisco Community College District

Management's Discussion and Analysis June 30, 2022

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities increased by \$13.9 million or 29%, primarily due to unearned revenue increased due to timing of Federal, State, and local grant expenditures. The remaining current portion of long-term liabilities, which are amounts due within the next fiscal year, decreased \$2.1 million or 5%.

Long-term liabilities decreased \$206.8 million or 24%. The aggregate net pension liability resulted in a net \$186.4 million decrease while General Obligation Bonds payable decreased \$41.5 million as a result scheduled debt service payments. The aggregate net OPEB liability increased by \$26.3 million.

The District's net position for net investment in capital assets increased by \$22.8 million or 21% over the prior year, while restricted and unrestricted net position increased by \$8.3 million and \$48.5 million, or 13% and 14% respectively. Total net position, which combines invested in capital assets, restricted, and unrestricted categories, experienced a net increase of \$79.6 million or 51%.

## ANALYSIS OF STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN NET POSITION

The following comparative Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position presents the operating results of the District, as well as the nonoperating revenues and expenses. Annual State appropriations (apportionments), while budgeted for operations, are considered nonoperating revenues according to generally accepted accounting principles.

## **Operating Results for the Year**

The results of this year's operations for the District as a whole are reported in the Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position on page 15.

Table 2

	2022	2021	Change
Operating Revenues Tuition and fees, net Grants and contracts, noncapital	\$ 13,787,182 50,266,439	\$ 14,009,668 62,571,570	\$ (222,486) (12,305,131)
Total operating revenues	64,053,621	76,581,238	(12,527,617)
Operating Expenses Salaries and employee benefits Supplies, services, equipment, and maintenance Student financial aid Depreciation	145,936,358 22,763,123 35,550,595 21,361,431	209,086,212 23,703,301 28,927,769 22,133,985	(63,149,854) (940,178) 6,622,826 (772,554)
Total operating expenses	225,611,507	283,851,267	(58,239,760)
Operating loss	(161,557,886)	(207,270,029)	45,712,143
Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses) State apportionments, noncapital Property taxes Local sales tax Student financial aid grants State taxes and other revenues Net interest expense Other nonoperating revenues	87,818,066 121,502,261 14,654,176 29,658,481 6,011,012 (24,187,900) 5,352,052	80,934,173 124,423,125 9,700,793 19,028,312 5,186,665 (8,853,886) 5,737,805	6,883,893 (2,920,864) 4,953,383 10,630,169 824,347 (15,334,014) (385,753)
Total nonoperating revenues (expenses)	240,808,148	236,156,987	4,651,161
Other Revenues State and local capital income	382,404	932,824	(550,420)
Change in net position	\$ 79,632,666	\$ 29,819,782	\$ 49,812,884

Tuition and fees, net of scholarships and allowances, decreased \$0.2 million or 2% from the prior year as a result of slightly lower enrollment. Federal, State, and local grants and contracts decreased \$12.3 million or 20% from prior year.

Consolidated operating expenses decreased by \$58.2 million or 21% from the prior year. This is primarily due to decreases in salaries and benefits of \$63.2 million, or 30%, over the prior year. The decrease is primarily related to one-time salary concessions, personnel layoffs, lower salary-driven benefit costs, and decreases in the net pension liabilities associated with STRS and SFERS. Depreciation, a noncash expense, decreased \$0.8 million or 4%. Supplies and maintenance expense increased \$6.6 million or 23% from prior year.

Total nonoperating revenues and expenses increased by \$4.7 million, or 2%, over the prior year. During the fiscal year 2022, the District earned \$87.8 million in State Apportionment, an increase of \$6.9 million from prior year, an offset resulting from an adjustment in the Total Computational Revenue (TCR) calculation, which included \$39.3 million in Education Protection Act (EPA) Funds. Local property taxes for general purposes increased by \$2.8 million, or 6%, due to an offset of ERAF overpayments from the City and County. Taxes levied for debt service and for other specific purposes (parcel tax Measure B) decreased by \$5.7 million, or 7%, mainly due to the collection of taxes for scheduled debt payments. State taxes and other revenues increased \$0.8 million, or 16%, over the prior year. Net interest expense on capital asset-related debt increased \$15.3 million, or 173% primarily due to the fair value of amounts held in cash in county treasury.

Other revenues decreased by \$0.6 million, or 59%, primarily due a decrease in State capital revenues.

#### **Statement of Cash Flows**

The Statement of Cash Flows provides information about cash receipts and payments during the year. This statement also assists users in assessing the District's ability to meet its obligations as they come due and its need for external financing.

	2022	2021	Change
Net Cash Flows from			
Operating activities	\$ (172,032,647)	\$ (178,852,515)	\$ 6,819,868
Noncapital financing activities	199,842,011	178,876,645	20,965,366
Capital financing activities	(12,207,667)	312,683,928	(324,891,595)
Investing activities	(9,268,528)	1,884,779	(11,153,307)
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash	6,333,169	314,592,837	(308,259,668)
Cash and cash equivalents, Beginning of Year	381,596,228	67,003,391	314,592,837
Cash and cash equivalents, End of Year	\$ 387,929,397	\$ 381,596,228	\$ 6,333,169

## **Functional Expenses**

In accordance with requirements set forth by the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office, the District reports operating expenses by object code. Operating expenses by functional classification are as follows:

	Salaries and Employee Benefits	Supplies, Material, and Other Expenses and Services	Student Financial Aid	Depreciation	Total
Instructional activities	\$ 62,691,159	\$ 1,320,349	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 64,011,508
Academic support	6,627,566	1,564,855	-	-	8,192,421
Student services	24,224,782	771,900	-	-	24,996,682
Plant operations and					
maintenance	4,464,650	1,422,143	-	-	5,886,793
Instructional support services	39,567,496	7,055,645	-	-	46,623,141
Community services and					
economic development	5,268,743	483,130	-	-	5,751,873
Ancillary services and					
auxiliary operations	3,056,803	196,821	-	-	3,253,624
Student aid	-	-	35,550,595	-	35,550,595
Physical property and related					
acquisitions	35,159	9,948,280	-	-	9,983,439
Unallocated depreciation	-			21,361,431	21,361,431
Total	\$145,936,358	\$22,763,123	\$35,550,595	\$21,361,431	\$225,611,507

## **Capital Assets**

The capital assets of the District as of June 30, 2022, amounted to a total of \$335.6 million. Of this amount, the nondepreciable portion, composed of land and construction in progress, was \$97.6 million or 12% of total cost. Depreciable capital assets totaled \$706.9 million or 88% of total cost. Total accumulated depreciation was \$468.9 million, resulting in net depreciable capital assets of \$238.0 million.

Note 7 to the financial statements provides additional information on capital assets. A summary of capital assets is presented below:

	Balance,			Balance,
	July 1, 2021	Additions	Deletions	June 30, 2022
Capital Assets				
Land and construction in progress	\$ 82,243,224	\$ 23,909,002	\$ (8,533,410)	\$ 97,618,816
<b>Buildings and improvements</b>	654,000,489	8,508,286	-	662,508,775
Furniture, equipment, and vehicles	40,975,940	3,376,429		44,352,369
Subtotal capital assets	777,219,653	35,793,717	(8,533,410)	804,479,960
Accumulated depreciation	(447,524,107)	(21,361,431)		(468,885,538)
Total capital assets, net	\$ 329,695,546	\$ 14,432,286	\$ (8,533,410)	\$ 335,594,422

The District calculates depreciation using the straight-line method and the mid-year convention. The District participates in a physical asset count every three years. Depreciation expense amounted to \$21.4 million for the year.

## **Long-term Liabilities**

Changes for the District's long-term liabilities include a decrease in general obligations bonds payable of \$41.5 million due to scheduled debt service payments, a net increase of the aggregate net OPEB liability of \$26.3 million, and a net decrease of \$186.4 million of aggregate net pension liability. Additionally, other long-term liabilities decreased \$7.3 million primarily due to changes in compensated absences, load banking liability, claims liability, and supplemental early retirement plan liability.

Notes 8, 9, 10, and 11 to the financial statements provides additional information on long-term liabilities. A summary of long-term liabilities is presented below:

	Balance, July 1, 2021	Additions	Deletions	Balance, June 30, 2022
General obligation bonds Other long-term liabilities Aggregate net OPEB liability Aggregate net pension liability	\$ 517,915,244 38,876,711 122,834,645 214,257,194	\$ - - 27,395,826 -	\$ (41,500,742) (7,253,021) (1,065,886) (186,448,506)	\$ 476,414,502 31,623,690 149,164,585 27,808,688
Total long-term liabilities	\$ 893,883,794	\$ 27,395,826	\$(236,268,155)	\$ 685,011,465
Amount due within one year				\$ 40,426,435

#### **DEBT FINANCING**

The District participates in external financing activities to cover both long-term and short-term cash flows needs. As a governmental unit, the District's financing activities and choices are bounded by Federal and State restrictions.

A Citizens' Oversight Committee consisting of members from key constituencies of the community services as an advisory committee to the District's Board of Trustees. These constituencies include the San Francisco Taxpayers Association, the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, senior citizens groups, City College students, and the Foundation of the San Francisco Community College District. The Citizens' Oversight Committee is responsible for monitoring the spending of the 2001, 2005, and 2020 Proposition A Bond funds. The District successfully qualified for matching funds available from Statewide School Facilities Bonds for several Proposition A projects.

In November 2001, San Francisco taxpayers approved \$195.0 million in Proposition A Education Facilities Improvement Bonds. In November 2005, San Francisco taxpayers approved an additional \$246.3 million authorization in Proposition A Bonds. As of June 30, 2014, the entire \$195.0 million of the 2001 authorization and \$246.3 million of the 2005 authorization had been sold and the proceeds are being used to fund approved projects.

# San Francisco Community College District

Management's Discussion and Analysis June 30, 2022

In 2015, the District bond ratings were raised to Aa3 and A/Stable for Moody's, S&P, and Fitch, respectively, the highest level since 2011, with Moody's valuing the College's bonds in the coveted Prime-1 Investment Grade range. The improved ratings allowed the District to refinance its outstanding bond debt, and on April 9, 2015, the District refinanced \$241,290,000 of general obligation bonds from the 2001 Series A, B, and C and 2005 Series A and B bonds in order to save San Francisco property taxpayers approximately \$48.7 million.

In March 2020, San Francisco taxpayers approved \$845.0 million in Proposition A General Obligation Bonds for Educational Facilities improvements. The first sale of these bonds, Series A (\$110.0 million) and Series A-1 (\$190.0 million) occurred on December 1, 2020. For this first sale, Moody's Investor Services assigned a rating for these bonds of Aa3 and Fitch assigned an A+ rating.

In May 2021, Moody's downgraded the rating for District bonds to A1 from Aa3, with a negative outlook. No action was taken by Fitch prior to the end of the 2020-21 fiscal year. Moody's indicated their downgrade reflected the District's failure to address its structural budget gap and its continued reliance on one-time revenues and interfund borrowing to cover operating expenditures. The lower rating also reflected ongoing enrollment declines, and recurring operating deficits which have resulted in the District being unable to maintain a state mandated and board required 5% minimum reserve.

Since that rating however, the District has addressed the concerns noted in prior ratings by Moody's and Fitch and as of June 30, 2022, is rated A1 Stable (Moody's); a revision upward from A1 Negative, and A+ Stable with Fitch. There was no change to the Fitch prior rating.

## ECONOMIC FACTORS AFFECTING THE FUTURE OF SAN FRANCISCO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

- The 2021-22 budget enacted several changes to District operations. Salary concessions and layoffs were implemented to align District expenditures with projected state and local revenue. These actions provided the District with the flexibility to create a Reserve for Unanticipated Emergencies, and provide funding to unaddress future Other Post Employment Benefit (OPEB) costs.
- In 2012, San Francisco voters approved a special parcel tax; Proposition A, which was to provide the district with funding of \$79 per parcel until the 2020-21 fiscal year. However, in 2016, an additional measure was proposed; Proposition B, which raised the parcel tax from \$79 to \$99 and extended the term until 2032. The parcel tax is collected via the general property tax billing system, through the San Francisco County Tax Collector's office, and is updated annually based upon the number of taxable parcels within the City. This special parcel tax provides the District with approximately \$19 million in annual revenue.
- The District also receives funding from the City in the form of sales tax revenue. During the COVID-19 pandemic, this revenue stream was negatively impacted, reducing revenue from \$14 million prepandemic to less than \$10 million in 2020-21. In the 2021-22 year, this revenue stream has begun to recover and the District received over \$14 million to support district operations for the 2021-22 fiscal year. The District will need to continue however, to work on building revenue through enrollment and expansion of its program offerings in order to mitigate any future impact from reduced levels of sales tax revenue.

## CONTACTING THE DISTRICT'S FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens, taxpayers, students, and investors and creditors with a general overview of the District's finances and to show the District's accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report or need any additional financial information, contact San Francisco Community College District, Vice Chancellor Finance and Administration, 50 Frida Kahlo Way, B-606, San Francisco, CA 94112.

Assets	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 7,897,899
Investments	380,681,473
Accounts receivable	20,667,446
Student receivables, net	7,122,423
Prepaid expenses	9,371,622
Inventories	49,503
Capital assets	
Nondepreciable capital assets	97,618,816
Depreciable capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation	237,975,606
Total capital assets, net	335,594,422
Total assets	761,384,788
Deferred Outflows of Resources	
Deferred outflows of resources related to debt refunding	5,367,599
Deferred outflows of resources related to OPEB	36,334,538
Deferred outflows of resources related to pensions	40,709,273
Total deferred outflows of resources	82,411,410
Liabilities	
Accounts payable	17,373,580
Accrued interest payable	674,332
Unearned revenue	44,282,729
Long-term liabilities	
Long-term liabilities other than OPEB and pensions, due within one year	40,426,435
Long-term liabilities other than OPEB and pensions, due in more than one year	467,611,757
Aggregate net other postemployment benefits (OPEB) liability	149,164,585
Aggregate net pension liability	27,808,688
Total liabilities	747,342,106
Deferred Inflows of Resources	
Deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB	9,958,556
Deferred inflows of resources related to pensions	164,476,155
Total deferred inflows of resources	174,434,711
Net Position (Deficit)	
Net investment in capital assets Restricted for	132,486,351
Debt service	44,394,523
Capital projects	8,219,913
Educational programs	4,795,701
Other activities	15,885,028
Unrestricted (deficit)	(283,762,135)
Total net position (deficit)	\$ (77,980,619)

Operating Revenues	
Tuition and fees	\$ 18,818,179
Less: Scholarship discounts and allowances	(5,030,997)
Net tuition and fees	13,787,182
Grants and contracts, noncapital	
Federal	15,241,927
State	32,379,682
Local	2,644,830
Total grants and contracts, noncapital	50,266,439
Total operating revenues	64,053,621
Operating Expenses	
Salaries	131,271,372
Employee benefits	51,172,479
Employee benefits - pension actuarial changes	(36,507,493)
Supplies, materials, and other operating expenses and services	21,588,264
Student financial aid	35,550,595
Equipment, maintenance, and repairs	1,174,859
Depreciation	21,361,431
Total operating expenses	225,611,507
Operating Loss	(161,557,886)
Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses)	
State apportionments, noncapital	87,818,066
Local property taxes, levied for general purposes	48,773,195
Taxes levied for debt service	53,108,382
Parcel taxes levied for specific purposes	19,620,684
Local sales tax	14,654,176
Federal and state financial aid grants	29,658,481
State taxes and other revenues	6,011,012
Investment income (loss), net	(8,533,731)
Interest expense on capital related debt	(14,752,343)
Investment income (loss) on capital asset-related debt, net	(901,826)
Other nonoperating revenue	5,352,052
Total nonoperating revenues (expenses)	240,808,148
Income Before Other Revenues	79,250,262
Other Revenues	
State revenues, capital	382,404
Change In Net Position	79,632,666
Net Position (Deficit), Beginning of Year	(157,613,285)
Net Position (Deficit), End of Year	\$ (77,980,619)

Year Ended June 30, 2022

Cash Flows from Operating Activities	
Tuition and fees	\$ 13,680,453
Federal, state, and local grants and contracts, noncapital	66,825,041
Payments to or on behalf of employees	(196,814,166)
Payments to vendors for supplies and services	(20,173,380)
Payments to students for scholarships and grants	(35,550,595)
Net cash flows from operating activities	(172,032,647)
Cash Flows from Noncapital Financing Activities	
State apportionments	88,057,131
Federal and state financial aid grants	29,658,481
Property taxes - nondebt related	48,773,195
State taxes and other apportionments	6,074,504
Proceeds from sales tax	11,758,189
Other nonoperating	15,520,511
Net cash flows from noncapital financing activities	199,842,011
Cash Flows from Capital Financing Activities	
Purchase of capital assets	(30,010,146)
State revenue, capital	382,404
Property taxes - related to capital debt	72,729,066
Principal paid on capital debt	(37,945,000)
Interest paid on capital debt	(17,760,327)
Interest received on capital asset-related debt	396,336
Net cash flows from capital financing activities	(12,207,667)
Cash Flows from Investing Activities	
Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments	400,000
Change in fair value of cash in county treasury	(11,304,513)
Interest received from investments	1,635,985
Net cash flows from investing activities	(9,268,528)
Change In Cash and Cash Equivalents	6,333,169
Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Year	381,596,228
Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Year	\$ 387,929,397

Reconciliation of Operating Loss to Net Cash Flows from Operating Activities Operating Loss Adjustments to reconcile operating loss to net cash flows from	\$ (161,557,886)
operating activities Depreciation expense Changes in assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources	21,361,431
Accounts receivable	7,033,818
Student receivables, net	(3,029,656)
Inventories	(3,132)
Prepaid expenses	158,627
Deferred outflows of resources related to OPEB	(31,762,388)
Deferred outflows of resources related to pensions	15,298,435
Accounts payable	(979,996)
Unearned revenue	14,930,581
Claims liability	(990,005)
Compensated absences	(890,171)
Load banking	(21,614)
Supplemental early retirement plan	(4,109,796)
Other long-term liabilities	(1,241,435)
Aggregate net OPEB liability	26,329,940
Aggregate net pension liability	(186,448,506)
Deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB	330,588
Deferred inflows of resources related to pensions	133,558,518
Total adjustments	(10,474,761)
Net cash flows from operating activities	\$ (172,032,647)
Cash and Cash Equivalents Consist of the Following:	
Cash on hand and in banks	\$ 7,897,899
Cash in county treasury	380,031,498
Total cash and cash equivalents	\$ 387,929,397
Noncash Transactions	
Amortization of deferred outflows of resources related to debt refunding Amortization of debt premiums	\$ 613,440 \$ 3,555,742

# San Francisco Community College District

Fiduciary Fund Statement of Net Position June 30, 2022

	Retiree OPEB Trust
Assets	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 194,501
Investments	16,594,525
Total assets	16,789,026
Net Position	
Restricted for postemployment	
benefits other than pensions	\$ 16,789,026

# San Francisco Community College District

Fiduciary Fund Statement of Changes in Net Position Year Ended June 30, 2022

	Retiree OPEB Trust
Additions	
District contributions Interest and investment income (loss)	\$ 16,617,650 (7,476,598)
Total additions	9,141,052
Deductions	
Benefit payments	10,258,412
Administrative expenses	35,290
Total deductions	10,293,702
Change in Net Position	(1,152,650)
Net Position - Beginning of Year	17,941,676
Net Position - End of Year	\$ 16,789,026

## Note 1 - Organization

San Francisco Community College District (the District) was established in 1935 as a political subdivision of the State of California and is a comprehensive, public, two-year institution offering educational services to residents of the surrounding area. The District operates under a locally elected seven-member Board of Trustees form of government, which establishes the policies and procedures by which the District operates. The Board must approve the annual budgets for the General Fund, special revenue funds, and capital project funds, but these budgets are managed at the department level. Currently, the District operates one campus and several centers located within the City of San Francisco, California. While the District is a political subdivision of the State of California, it is legally separate and is independent of other State and local governments, and it is not a component unit of the State in accordance with the provisions of Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 39.

## Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

## **Financial Reporting Entity**

The District has adopted accounting policies to determine whether certain organizations, for which the District is not financially accountable, should be reported as component units based on the nature and significance of their relationship with the District, as defined by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and established by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). The financial reporting entity consists of the primary government (the District). The District has identified no component units.

#### **Basis of Accounting**

For financial reporting purposes, the District is considered a special-purpose government engaged only in business-type activities as defined by GASB. This presentation provides a comprehensive government-wide perspective of the District's assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, deferred inflows of resources, activities, and cash flows and replaces the fund group perspective previously required. Fiduciary activities are excluded from the primary government financial statements. The District's financial statements have been presented using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. The significant accounting policies followed by the District in preparing these financial statements are in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as promulgated by GASB. Additionally, the District's policies comply with the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office *Budget and Accounting Manual*. Under the accrual basis, revenues are recognized when earned, and expenses are recorded when an obligation has been incurred. All material intra-agency and intra-fund transactions have been eliminated.

Revenue resulting from exchange transactions, in which each party gives and receives essentially equal value, is recorded on the accrual basis when the exchange takes place. Nonexchange transactions, in which the District receives value without directly giving equal value in return, include State apportionments, property taxes, federal and state financial aid grants, entitlements, and donations. Property tax revenue is recognized in the fiscal year received. State apportionment revenue is earned based upon criteria set forth from the Community Colleges Chancellor's Office and includes reporting of full-time equivalent student (FTES) attendance. The corresponding apportionment revenue is recognized in the period the FTES are generated. Revenue from federal and state financial aid grants are recognized in the fiscal year in which all eligibility requirements have been satisfied. Eligibility requirements may include time and/or purpose requirements.

Expenses are recorded on the accrual basis as they are incurred, when goods are received, or services are rendered.

## **Cash and Cash Equivalents**

The District's cash and cash equivalents are considered to be cash on hand, demand deposits, and short-term investments with original maturities of three months or less from the date of acquisition. Cash equivalents also include cash with county treasury balances for purposes of the statement of cash flows.

#### Investments

Investments are stated at fair value. Fair value is estimated based on quoted market prices at year-end. All investments not required to be reported at fair value, including money market investments and participating interest-earning investment contracts with original maturities greater than one year, are stated at cost or amortized cost.

The District's investment in the County Treasury is measured at fair value on a recurring basis, which is determined by the fair value per share of the underlying portfolio determined by the program sponsor. Positions in this investment pool is not required to be categorized within the fair value hierarchy.

#### **Accounts Receivable**

Accounts receivable include amounts due from the Federal, State and/or local governments, or private sources, in connection with reimbursement of allowable expenditures made pursuant to the District's grants and contracts. Accounts receivable also consist of tuition and fee charges to students and auxiliary enterprise services provided to students, faculty, and staff. The District provides for an allowance for uncollectible accounts as an estimation of amounts that may not be received. This allowance is based upon management's estimates and analysis. The allowance was estimated at approximately \$5.2 million for the year ended June 30, 2022.

## **Prepaid Expenses**

Certain payments to vendors reflect costs applicable to future accounting periods and are recorded as prepaid items in the financial statements. The cost of prepaid items is recorded as an expense when consumed rather than when purchased.

#### **Inventories**

Inventories consist primarily of cafeteria food and supplies held for resale to the students and faculty of the colleges. Inventories are stated at cost, utilizing the weighted average method. The cost is recorded as an expense as the inventory is consumed rather than when purchased.

## **Capital Assets and Depreciation**

Capital assets are long-lived assets of the District as a whole and include land, construction-in-progress, buildings, building and land improvements, and equipment. The District maintains an initial unit cost capitalization threshold of \$5,000 and an estimated useful life greater than one year. Assets are recorded at historical cost, or estimated historical cost, when purchased or constructed. The District does not possess any infrastructure. Donated capital assets are recorded at acquisition value at the date of donation. Improvements to buildings and land that significantly increase the value or extend the useful life of the asset are capitalized; the costs of routine maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend an asset's life are charged as an operating expense in the year in which the expense was incurred. Major outlays for capital improvements are capitalized as construction-in-progress as the projects are constructed.

Depreciation of capital assets is computed and recorded utilizing the straight-line method. Estimated useful lives of the various classes of depreciable capital assets are as follows: buildings, 25 to 50 years; improvements, 10 to 15 years; equipment, 5 to 10 years; vehicles, 3 years.

The District records impairments of capital assets when it becomes probable that the carrying value of the assets will not be fully recovered over their estimated useful life. Impairments are recorded to reduce the carrying value of the assets to their net realizable value based on facts and circumstances in existence at the time of the determination. No impairments were recorded during the year ended June 30, 2022.

## **Compensated Absences and Load Banking**

Accumulated unpaid employee vacation benefits are accrued as a liability as the benefits are earned. The entire compensated absence liability is reported on the government-wide financial statements. The current portion of unpaid compensated absences is recognized upon the occurrence of relevant events such as employee resignation and retirements that occur prior to year end that have not yet been paid within the fund from which the employees who have accumulated the leave are paid. The District also participates in "load banking" with eligible academic employees whereby the employee may teach extra courses in one period in exchange for time off in another period. The liability for this benefit is reported on the government-wide financial statements.

Sick leave is accumulated without limit for each employee based upon negotiated contracts. Leave with pay is provided when employees are absent for health reasons; however, the employees do not gain a vested right to accumulated sick leave. Employees are never paid for any sick leave balance at termination of employment or any other time. Therefore, the value of accumulated sick leave is not recognized as a liability in the District's financial statements. However, retirement credit for unused sick leave is applicable to all classified members who retire after January 1, 1999. At retirement, each member will receive 0.004 year of service credit for each day of unused sick leave. Retirement credit for unused sick leave is applicable to all academic employees and is determined by dividing the number of unused sick days by the number of base service days required to complete the last school year, if employed full time.

#### **Debt Premiums**

Debt premiums are amortized over the life of the bonds using the straight-line method, which approximates the effective interest method. All other bond issuance costs are expensed when incurred.

### **Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources**

In addition to assets, the Statement of Net Position also reports deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element represents a consumption of net position that applies to a future period and so will not be recognized as an expense until then. The District reports deferred outflows of resources related to debt refunding, for OPEB related items, and for pension related items. The deferred outflows of resources related to debt refunding resulted from the difference between the carrying value of the refunded debt and its reacquisition price. The amount is deferred and amortized over the shorter of the life of the refunded or refunding debt. The deferred amounts related to OPEB and pension related items are associated with contributions subsequent to the measurement date, change in proportion and differences between contributions made and the District's proportionate share of contributions, differences between expected and actual earnings on plan investments, changes of assumptions, and other OPEB and pension related changes.

In addition to liabilities, the Statement of Net Position reports a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element represents an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period and so will not be recognized as revenue until then. The District reports deferred inflows of resources for OPEB and pension related items.

## **Pensions**

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability, deferred outflows/inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the California State Teachers Retirement System (CalSTRS), the City and County of San Francisco Employees' Retirement System (SFERS), and the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) Miscellaneous Risk Pool (the Plans) and additions to/deductions from the Plans' fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by CalSTRS, SFERS, CalPERS. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Member contributions are recognized in the period in which they are earned. Investments are reported at fair value. The aggregate net pension liability attributable to the governmental activities will be paid by the fund in which the employee worked.

## Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions (OPEB)

For purposes of measuring the net OPEB liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB, and OPEB expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the District's Plan and the CalSTRS Medicare Premium Payment (MPP) Program and additions to/deductions from the District's Plan and MPP's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by the District's Plan and MPP. For this purpose, the District's Plan and MPP recognize benefit payments when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value, except for money market investments and participating interest-earning investment contracts that have a maturity at the time of purchase of one year or less, which are reported at cost. The aggregate net OPEB liability attributable to the governmental activities will be paid primarily by the General Fund.

#### **Unearned Revenue**

Unearned revenues arise when resources are received by the District before it has a legal claim to them, such as when certain grants are received prior to the occurrence of qualifying expenditures. In the subsequent periods, when the District has a legal claim to the resources, the liability for unearned revenue is removed from the balance sheet and the revenue is recognized. Unearned revenue is primarily composed of (1) amounts received for tuition and fees prior to the end of the fiscal year that are related to the subsequent fiscal year and (2) amounts received from federal, state, and local grants and contracts received before the eligibility requirements are met.

#### **Noncurrent Liabilities**

Noncurrent liabilities include bonds payable, compensated absences, load banking, supplemental early retirement plan, claims liability, other long-term liability associated with state apportionment repayment, the aggregate net OPEB liability, and aggregate net pension liability with maturities greater than one year.

### **Net Position**

Net position represents the difference between assets and deferred outflows of resources, and liabilities and deferred inflows of resources. Net position related to net investment in capital assets consists of capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, reduced by the outstanding balances of any borrowings used for the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets. Net position is reported as restricted when there are limitations imposed on its use either through the enabling legislation adopted by the District or through external restrictions imposed by creditors, grantors, or laws or regulations of other governments. The District first applies restricted resources when an expense is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted net position is available. The government-wide financial statements report \$73,295,165 of restricted net position, and the fiduciary fund financial statements report \$16,789,026 of restricted net position.

## **Operating and Nonoperating Revenues and Expenses**

**Classification of Revenues** - The District has classified its revenues as either operating or nonoperating. Certain significant revenue streams relied upon for operation are classified as nonoperating as defined by GASB. Classifications are as follows:

- Operating revenues Operating revenues include activities that have the characteristics of exchange transactions such as tuition and fees, net of scholarship discounts and allowances, federal, state, and local grants and contracts.
- Nonoperating revenues Nonoperating revenues include activities that have the characteristics of nonexchange transactions such as State apportionments, property taxes, investment income, and other revenue sources defined by GASB.

**Classification of Expenses** - Nearly all of the District's expenses are from exchange transactions and are classified as either operating or nonoperating according to the following criteria:

- Operating expenses Operating expenses are necessary costs to provide the services of the District and include employee salaries and benefits, supplies, operating expenses, and student financial aid.
- **Nonoperating expenses** Nonoperating expenses include interest expense and other expenses not directly related to the services of the District.

## **State Apportionments**

Certain current year apportionments from the State are based on financial and statistical information of the previous year. Any corrections due to the recalculation of the apportionment are made in February of the subsequent year. When known and measurable, these recalculations and corrections are accrued in the year in which the FTES are generated.

#### **Property Taxes**

Secured property taxes attach as an enforceable lien on property as of January 1. The County Assessor is responsible for assessment of all taxable real property. Taxes are payable in two installments on November 1 and February 1 and become delinquent on December 10 and April 10, respectively. Unsecured property taxes are payable in one installment on or before August 31. The City/County of San Francisco bills and collects the taxes on behalf of the District. Local property tax revenues are recorded when received.

The voters of the District passed General Obligation Bonds in 2001, 2005, and 2020 for the acquisition, construction, and remodeling of certain District property. As a result of the passage of the Bond, property taxes are assessed on the property within the District specifically for the repayment of the debt incurred. The taxes are billed and collected as noted above and remitted to the District when collected.

The voters of the District passed a Parcel Tax in 2012, with updated levies and duration passed in 2016, for the general revenues of the District. The Parcel tax levies \$99 per parcel until 2032 to provide for core academic programs, training, and education of student attending the District and transferring to university. The taxes are assessed, billed, and collected as noted above, and remitted to the District when collected.

## **Scholarship Discounts and Allowances**

Tuition and fee revenue is reported net of scholarship discounts and allowances. Fee waivers approved by the California Community College Board of Governors are included within scholarship discounts and allowances in the Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position. Scholarship discounts and allowances represent the difference between stated charges for enrollment fees and the amount that is paid by students or third parties making payments on the students' behalf.

## **Financial Assistance Programs**

The District participates in federally funded Pell Grants, Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants (SEOG), and Federal Work-Study programs, as well as other programs funded by the Federal government and State of California. Financial aid provided to the student in the form of cash is reported as an operating expense in the Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position. Federal financial assistance programs are audited in accordance with Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards*.

#### **Estimates**

The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results may differ from those estimates, and those difference could be material.

#### **Interfund Activity**

Interfund receivable and payable balances arise from interfund transactions and are recorded by all funds affected in the period in which transactions are executed. Interfund activity within the primary government and fiduciary funds has been eliminated respectively in the consolidation process of the basic financial statements. Balances owing between the primary government and the fiduciary funds are not eliminated in the consolidation process.

Operating transfers between funds of the District are used to (1) move revenues from the fund that statute or budget requires to collect them to the fund that statute or budget requires to expend them, (2) move receipts restricted to debt service from the funds collecting the receipts to the debt service fund as debt service payments become due, and (3) use restricted revenues collected in the General Fund to finance various programs accounted for in other funds in accordance with budgetary authorizations. Operating transfers within the primary government and fiduciary funds has been eliminated respectively in the consolidation process of the basic financial statements. Balances transferred between the primary government and the fiduciary funds are not eliminated in the consolidation process.

## **Change in Accounting Principles**

## Implementation of GASB Statement No. 87

As of July 1, 2021, the District adopted GASB Statement No. 87, *Leases*. The implementation of this standard establishes a single model for lease accounting based on the foundational principle that leases are financings of the right to use an underlying asset. The standard requires recognition of certain lease assets and liabilities for leases that previously were classified as operating leases and recognized as inflows of resources or outflows of resources based on the payment provisions of the contract.

The provisions of this Statement have been implemented as of June 30, 2022.

## Implementation of GASB Statement No. 92

In January 2020, the GASB issued Statement No. 92, *Omnibus 2020*. The objectives of this statement are to enhance comparability in accounting and financial reporting and to improve the consistency of authoritative literature by addressing practice issues that have been identified during implementation and application of certain GASB Statements. This Statement addresses a variety of topics and includes specific provisions about the following:

- The effective date of Statement No. 87, *Leases*, and Implementation Guide No. 2019-3, *Leases*, for interim financial reporting
- Reporting of intra-entity transfers of assets between a primary government employer and a component unit defined benefit pension plan or defined benefit other postemployment benefit (OPEB) plan
- The applicability of Statement No. 73, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions and Related Assets That Are Not within the Scope of GASB Statement No. 68, and Amendments to Certain Provisions of GASB Statements 67 and 68, as amended, and No. 74, Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans, as amended, to reporting assets accumulated for postemployment benefits
- The applicability of certain requirements of Statement No. 84, *Fiduciary Activities*, to postemployment benefit arrangements
- Measurement of liabilities (and assets, if any) related to asset retirement obligations (AROs) in a government acquisition
- Reporting by public entity risk pools for amounts that are recoverable from reinsurers or excess insurers
- Reference to nonrecurring fair value measurements of assets or liabilities in authoritative literature
- Terminology used to refer to derivative instruments

The requirements of this Statement are effective as follows:

- The requirements related to the effective date of Statement 87 and Implementation Guide 2019-3, reinsurance recoveries, and terminology used to refer to derivative instruments are effective upon issuance.
- The requirements related to intra-entity transfers of assets and those related to the applicability of Statements 73 and 74 are effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2021.

- The requirements related to application of Statement 84 to postemployment benefit arrangements and those related to nonrecurring fair value measurements of assets or liabilities are effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2021.
- The requirements related to the measurement of liabilities (and assets, if any) associated with AROs in a government acquisition are effective for government acquisitions occurring in reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2021.

The provisions of this Statement have been implemented as of June 30, 2022.

## Implementation of GASB Statement No. 93

In March 2020, the GASB issued Statement No. 93, Replacement of Interbank Offered Rates. The objective of this Statement is to address those and other accounting and financial reporting implications that result from the replacement of an IBOR (Interbank Offered Rate). This Statement achieves that objective by:

- Providing exceptions for certain hedging derivative instruments to the hedge accounting termination
  provisions when an IBOR is replaced as the reference rate of the hedging derivative instrument's variable
  payment
- Clarifying the hedge accounting termination provisions when a hedged item is amended to replace the reference rate
- Clarifying that the uncertainty related to the continued availability of IBORs does not, by itself, affect the assessment of whether the occurrence of a hedged expected transaction is probable
- Removing LIBOR as an appropriate benchmark interest rate for the qualitative evaluation of the effectiveness of an interest rate swap
- Identifying a Secured Overnight Financing Rate and the Effective Federal Funds Rate as appropriate benchmark interest rates for the qualitative evaluation of the effectiveness of an interest rate swap Clarifying the definition of reference rate, as it is used in Statement 53, as amended
- Providing an exception to the lease modifications guidance in Statement 87, as amended, for certain lease contracts that are amended solely to replace an IBOR as the rate upon which variable payments depend.

The provisions of this Statement have been implemented as of June 30, 2022.

#### Note 3 - Deposits and Investments

## **Policies and Practices**

The District is authorized under California *Government Code* to make direct investments in local agency bonds, notes, or warrants within the State; U.S. Treasury instruments; registered State warrants or treasury notes; securities of the U.S. Government, or its agencies; bankers acceptances; commercial paper; certificates of deposit placed with commercial banks and/or savings and loan companies; repurchase or reverse repurchase agreements; medium term corporate notes; shares of beneficial interest issued by diversified management companies, certificates of participation, obligations with first priority security, and collateralized mortgage obligations.

#### **Investment in County Treasury**

In accordance with the California Community Colleges' *Budget and Accounting Manual*, the District maintains substantially all of its cash in the County Treasury as part of the common investment pool. The District is considered to be an involuntary participant in an external investment pool. The fair value of the District's investment in the pool is reported in the accompanying financial statements at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by the County Treasurer for the entire portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer, which is recorded on the amortized cost basis.

#### **General Authorizations**

Limitations as they relate to interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk are indicated in the schedules below:

Authorized Investment Type	Maximum Remaining Maturity	Maximum Percentage of Portfolio	Maximum Investment in One Issuer
Local Agency Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
Registered State Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
U.S. Treasury Obligations	5 years	None	None
U.S. Agency Securities	5 years	None	None
Banker's Acceptance	180 days	40%	30%
Commercial Paper	270 days	25%	10%
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 years	30%	None
Repurchase Agreements	1 year	None	None
Reverse Repurchase Agreements	92 days	20% of base	None
Medium-Term Corporate Notes	5 years	30%	None
Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Mortgage Pass-Through Securities	5 years	20%	None
County Pooled Investment Funds	N/A	None	None
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	None	None
Joint Powers Authority Pools	N/A	None	None

#### **Authorized Under Debt Agreements**

Investments of debt proceeds held by bond trustees are governed by provisions of the debt agreements rather than general provisions of the California *Government Code*. These provisions allow for the acquisition of investment agreements with maturities of up to 30 years.

## **Summary of Deposits and Investments**

Deposits and investments as of June 30, 2022, consist of the following:

	Primary Government	Fiduciary Fund	
Cash on hand and in banks Cash with fiscal agent Investments	\$ 7,897,899 - 380,681,473	\$ - 194,501 16,594,525	
Total deposits and investments	\$ 388,579,372	\$ 16,789,026	

#### **Interest Rate Risk**

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. The District does not have a formal investment policy that limits investment maturities as a means of managing its exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates. The District manages its exposure to interest rate risk by primarily investing in the City and County of San Francisco Investment Pool, San Francisco Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Investment Pool, and Certificates of Deposits.

#### **Credit Risk**

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The District's investment in the City and County of San Francisco Investment Pool, San Francisco Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Investment Pool, and Certificates of Deposits are not required to be rated, nor have they been rated as of June 30, 2022.

Information about the sensitivity of the fair values of the District's investments to interest rate risk and credit risk is provided by the following schedule that shows the distribution of the District's investment by maturity and credit rating:

	Weighted			
	Fair	Average	Credit	
Investment Type	Value	Maturity in Days	Rating	
City and County of San Francisco Investment Pool San Francisco Retiree Health Care Trust Fund	\$ 380,031,498	569	Not rated	
Investment Pool	16,594,525	No maturity	Not rated	
Certificates of deposit	649,975	783	Not rated	
Total	\$ 397,275,998			

#### **Custodial Credit Risk**

## **Deposits**

This is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the District's deposits may not be returned to it. The District does not have a policy for custodial credit risk for deposits. However, the California *Government Code* requires that a financial institution secure deposits made by State or local governmental units by pledging securities in an undivided collateral pool held by a depository regulated under state law (unless so waived by the governmental unit). The market value of the pledged securities in the collateral pool must equal at least 110% of the total amount deposited by the public agency. California law also allows financial institutions to secure public deposits by pledging first trust deed mortgage notes having a value of 150% of the secured public deposits and letters of credit issued by the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco having a value of 105% of the secured deposits. As of June 30, 2022, the District's bank balance of approximately \$12.5 million was exposed to custodial credit risk because it was uninsured and collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent, but not in the name of the District.

#### **Investments**

This is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the District will not be able to recover the value of its investments or collateral securities that are in possession of an outside party. As of June 30, 2022, the District's investment balance of approximately \$16.1 million was exposed to custodial credit risk because it was uninsured, unregistered and held by the brokerage firm which is also the counterparty for these securities. The District does not have a policy limiting the amount of securities that can be held by counterparties.

#### Note 4 - Fair Value Measurements

The District categorizes the fair value measurements of its investments based on the hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The fair value hierarchy, which has three levels, is based on the valuation inputs used to measure an asset's fair value. The following provides a summary of the hierarchy used to measure fair value:

- Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets that the District has the ability to access at the
  measurement date. Level 1 assets may include debt and equity securities that are traded in an active
  exchange market and that are highly liquid and are actively traded in over-the-counter markets.
- Level 2 Observable inputs, other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets in active
  markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, or other inputs that
  are observable, such as interest rates and curves observable at commonly quoted intervals, implied
  volatilities, and credit spreads. For financial reporting purposes, if an asset has a specified term, a Level 2
  input is required to be observable for substantially the full term of the asset.
- Level 3 Unobservable inputs should be developed using the best information available under the
  circumstances, which might include the District's own data. The District should adjust that data if
  reasonably available information indicates that other market participants would use different data or
  certain circumstances specific to the District are not available to other market participants.

Uncategorized – Investments in the San Francisco Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Investment Pool not measured using the input levels above because the District's transactions are based on a stable net asset value per share. All contributions and redemptions are transacted at \$1.00 net asset value per share.

The District's fair value measurements are as follows at June 30, 2022:

Investment Type	Fair Level 2 Value Inputs		Uncategorized	
San Francisco Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Investment Pool	\$ 16,594,525	\$	-	\$ 16,594,525
Certificates of deposit	649,975		649,975	
Total	\$ 17,244,500	\$	649,975	\$ 16,594,525

All assets have been valued using a market approach, which uses prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable assets or group of assets.

## Note 5 - Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable as of June 30, 2022, consisted of the following:

	Primary
	Government
Federal Government	
Categorical aid	\$ 9,811,281
State Government	
Categorical aid	2,283,184
Lottery	1,193,117
Other state sources	225,025
Local Sources	
Sales tax	5,028,292
Other local sources	2,126,547
Total	\$ 20,667,446
6	<u> </u>
Student receivables	\$ 12,277,480
Less: allowance for bad debt	(5,155,057)
Student receivables, net	\$ 7,122,423
Student receivables, flet	ر ۲٫122,423

## Note 6 - Prepaid Expenses

In 2003, the District entered into a 75 year operating contract with San Francisco Unified School District to lease real property located at 106 Bartlett Street, San Francisco, California with a lump sum payment of \$7,500,000. This amount was recorded as prepaid expenses and is being amortized as annual operating lease expense of \$100,000 over the 75 year period. On June 9, 2006, the District entered into a second lease agreement with San Francisco Unified School District for additional property at 106 Bartlett Street with a lump sum payment of \$4,000,000. This amount was recorded as prepaid expense and is being amortized as annual operating lease expense of \$155,556 over the remaining life of the original lease.

As of June 30, 2022, the prepaid expenses were as follows:

Prepaid rent	\$ 8,555,556
Other prepaid expenses	816,066
Total	\$ 9,371,622

# Note 7 - Capital Assets

Capital asset activity for the District for the year ended June 30, 2022, was as follows:

	Balance, July 1, 2021 Additions Deductions		Balance, June 30, 2022	
Capital Assets Not Being Depreciated				
Land	\$ 29,211,619	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 29,211,619
Construction in progress	53,031,605	23,909,002	(8,533,410)	68,407,197
Total capital assets not	_			
being depreciated	82,243,224	23,909,002	(8,533,410)	97,618,816
Capital Assets Being Depreciated	_			
Land improvements	158,319,060	1,762,455	-	160,081,515
Buildings and improvements	495,681,429	6,745,831	-	502,427,260
Furniture and equipment	39,923,542	3,362,318	-	43,285,860
Vehicles	1,052,398	14,111		1,066,509
Total capital assets				
being depreciated	694,976,429	11,884,715		706,861,144
Total capital assets	777,219,653	35,793,717	(8,533,410)	804,479,960
Less Accumulated Depreciation				
Land improvements	(152,107,384)	(1,541,795)	-	(153,649,179)
<b>Buildings and improvements</b>	(258,762,446)	(17,909,735)	-	(276,672,181)
Furniture and equipment	(35,706,670)	(1,871,914)	-	(37,578,584)
Vehicles	(947,607)	(37,987)		(985,594)
Total accumulated	_			
depreciation	(447,524,107)	(21,361,431)		(468,885,538)
Total capital assets, net	\$ 329,695,546	\$ 14,432,286	\$ (8,533,410)	\$ 335,594,422

## Note 8 - Long-Term Liabilities other than OPEB and Pensions

#### **Summary**

The changes in the District's long-term liabilities other than OPEB and pensions during the year ended June 30, 2022, consisted of the following:

	Balance, July 1, 2021	Additions	Deductions	Balance, June 30, 2022	Due in One Year
			·		<u> </u>
General obligation bonds	\$474,030,000	\$ -	\$ (37,945,000)	\$ 436,085,000	\$39,185,000
Bond premium	43,885,244	-	(3,555,742)	40,329,502	-
Compensated absences	9,427,223	-	(890,171)	8,537,052	-
Load banking	2,166,001	-	(21,614)	2,144,387	-
Supplemental early					
retirement plan	6,164,694	-	(4,109,796)	2,054,898	-
Claims liability	4,980,137	_	(990,005)	3,990,132	-
Other long-term liability	16,138,656	-	(1,241,435)	14,897,221	1,241,435
Total	\$556,791,955	\$ -	\$ (48,753,763)	\$ 508,038,192	\$40,426,435

## **Description of Long-Term Liabilities**

Payments on the general obligation bonds are made by the bond interest and redemption fund with local property tax revenues. The compensated absences and load banking liabilities will be paid by the fund for which the employee worked. The claims liability will be paid from the self-insurance fund. The supplemental early retirement plan payments are made by the general fund. The other long-term liability related to the apportionment repayment liability will be paid as a reduction in state apportionment each year.

## **General Obligation Bonds**

## 2015, Series D Refunding Bonds

On March 24, 2015, \$241,290,000 of San Francisco Community College District, 2015 General Obligation Refunding Bonds were issued with a final maturity date of June 15, 2031, and interest rates of 2.0% to 5.0%, depending on the maturity of the related bonds. Interest is payable semiannually on June 15 and December 15 of each year commencing on June 15, 2015. The outstanding principal balance of the 2015 General Obligation Refunding Bonds at June 30, 2022, was \$134,865,000.

#### Election of 2020, Series A and A-1 Bonds

On December 1, 2020, \$110,000,000 of San Francisco Community College District, Election of 2020, Series A Bonds and \$190,000,000 of San Francisco Community College District, Election of 2020, Series A-1 Bonds were issued with final maturity dates of June 15, 2045 and June 15, 2041, respectively. Interest rates are 2.3% to 5.0% for the Series A bonds, and 0.8% to 3.2% for the Series A-1 bonds, depending on the maturity of the related bonds. Interest is payable semiannually on June 15 and December 15 of each year commencing on June 15, 2021. The outstanding principal balances of the Series A and A-1 bonds at June 30, 2022, were \$106,660,000 and \$173,955,000, respectively.

#### 2020 General Obligation Refunding Bonds

On December 1, 2020, \$23,500,000 of San Francisco Community College District, 2020 General Obligation Refunding Bonds were issued with a final maturity date of June 15, 2034, and interest rates of 4.0% to 5.0%, depending on the maturity of the related bonds. Interest is payable semiannually on June 15 and December 15 of each year commencing on June 15, 2021. The bonds were issued to advance refund and defease the District's Election of 2005 General Obligation Bonds, Series D, and to pay all legal, financial, and contingent costs in connection with the issuance of the Bonds. Because the transaction qualified as a legal defeasance, the obligation for the defeased bonds has been removed from the District's financial statements. The outstanding principal balance of the 2020 General Obligation Refunding Bonds at June 30, 2022, was \$20,605,000.

#### **Debt Maturity**

#### **General Obligation Bonds**

Issue Date	Maturity Date	Interest Rate	Original Issue	Balance, July 1, 2021	Issued		Redeemed	Balance, June 30, 2022
2015	2031	2.0-5.0%	\$241,290,000	\$ 152,190,000	\$	-	\$ (17,325,000)	\$134,865,000
2020	2045	2.3-5.0%	110,000,000	110,000,000		-	(3,340,000)	106,660,000
2020	2041	0.8-3.2%	190,000,000	190,000,000		-	(16,045,000)	173,955,000
2020	2034	4.0-5.0%	23,500,000	21,840,000		-	(1,235,000)	20,605,000
				\$ 474,030,000	\$ 	_	\$ (37,945,000)	\$436,085,000

#### **Debt Service Requirements to Maturity**

The bonds mature through 2045 as follows:

Fiscal Year	Principal	Current Interest to Maturity	Total
2023	\$ 39,185,000	\$ 16,183,977	\$ 55,368,977
2024	24,880,000	14,232,727	39,112,727
2025	19,430,000	13,145,418	32,575,418
2026	20,695,000	12,341,644	33,036,644
2027	19,160,000	11,479,568	30,639,568
2028-2032	100,435,000	44,657,328	145,092,328
2033-2037	60,125,000	30,659,901	90,784,901
2038-2042	84,105,000	20,209,545	104,314,545
2043-2045	68,070,000	4,793,775	72,863,775
Total	\$ 436,085,000	\$ 167,703,883	\$ 603,788,883

#### **Supplemental Early Retirement Plan (SERP)**

During the 2018-2019 year, the District adopted a one-time SERP for full-time faculty, part-time faculty, classified personnel, and administrators. To be eligible for early retirement benefits, full-time faculty must have been at least 55 years of age and have five or more years of District service. To be eligible for early retirement benefits, part-time faculty must have been at least 55 years of age and have fifteen or more years of District service. To be eligible for early retirement benefits, classified personnel must have been at least 50 years of age and have five or more years of District service. To be eligible for early retirement benefits, administrators must have been at least 50 years of age and have three or more years of District service. Regardless of classification, the participating employee must be resigned from the District by June 30, 2022. In exchange for early retirement, the District will contribute 65% of the 2018-19 base salary. The District had 197 employees that enrolled in the SERP. The remaining obligation as of June 30, 2022 is \$2,054,898.

Future SERP payments are as follows:

Year Ending June 30,	
2023 2024	\$ - 2,054,898
Total	\$ 2,054,898

#### **Other Long-Term Liabilities**

The other long-term liabilities balance of \$14,897,221 is related to an apportionment repayment liability to be repaid over an original period 10 years. Future repayments are due through 2030 as follows:

Year Ending June 30,	
2023	\$ 1,241,435
2024	1,241,435
2025	1,241,435
2026	1,241,435
2027	2,482,870
2028-2030	7,448,611
Total	\$ 14,897,221

#### Note 9 - Aggregate Net Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) Liability

For the year ended June 30, 2022, the District reported an aggregate net OPEB liability, deferred outflows of resources, deferred inflows of resources, and OPEB expense for the following plans:

OPEB Plan		Aggregate Net OPEB Liability		Deferred Outflows of Resources		Deferred Inflows of Resources		OPEB Expense	
District Plan Bookstore Plan Medicare Premium Payment	\$	145,923,167 2,411,781	\$	36,334,538	\$	9,958,556 -	\$	12,581,676 (900,154)	
(MPP) Program		829,637						(165,732)	
Total	\$	149,164,585	\$	36,334,538	\$	9,958,556	\$	11,515,790	

The details of each plan are as follows:

#### **District Plan**

#### **Plan Administration**

The District's governing board administers the Postemployment Benefits Plan (the Plan). The Plan is a single-employer defined benefit plan that is used to provide postemployment benefits other than pensions (OPEB) for eligible retirees and their spouses.

Management of the plan is vested in the District management. Management of the trustee assets is vested with the City and County of San Francisco Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board.

#### Plan Membership

At June 30, 2021, the valuation date, the Plan membership consisted of the following:

Inactive employees or beneficiaries currently receiving benefits payments Active employees	1,335 1,209
Total	2,544

#### **Retiree Health Benefit OPEB Trust**

The Retiree Health Benefit OPEB Trust (the Trust) is an irrevocable governmental trust pursuant to Section 115 of the IRC for the purpose of funding certain postemployment benefits other than pensions. The Trust is administered by the San Francisco Retiree Health Care Trust Fund. The District retains the responsibility to oversee the management of the Trust, including the requirement that investments and assets held within the Trust continually adhere to the requirements of the California *Government Code* Section 53600.5 which specifies that the trustee's primary role is to preserve capital, to maintain investment liquidity, and to protect investment yield. As such, the District acts as the fiduciary of the Trust. The financial activity of the Trust has been discretely presented. Separate financial statements are not prepared for the Trust.

#### **Benefits Provided**

The Plan provides medical insurance benefits to eligible retirees and their spouses. Benefits are provided through a third-party insurer, and a portion of the cost of benefits is covered by the Plan. The District's governing board has the authority to establish and amend the benefit terms as contained within the negotiated labor agreements.

#### **Contributions**

The contribution requirements of Plan members and the District are established and may be amended by the District and the bargaining units. The voluntary contributions are based on projected pay-as-you-go financing requirements, with an additional amount to prefund benefits as determined by the District. For the measurement period ending June 30, 2021, the District contributed \$3,004,927 to the Plan, which was used to fund the OPEB Trust.

#### Investment

#### **Investment Policy**

The Plan's policy in regard to the allocation of invested assets is established and may be amended by the governing board by a majority vote of its members. It is the policy of the District to pursue an investment strategy that reduces risks through the prudent diversification for the portfolio across a broad selection of distinct asset classes. The Plan's investment policy discourages the use of cash equivalents, expect for liquidity purposes, and aims to refrain from dramatically shifting asset class allocation over short time spans. The following was the governing board's adopted asset allocation policy as of June 30, 2021:

Asset Class	Target Allocation
Equity	75%
Fixed income	25%

#### Rate of Return

For the year ended June 30, 2021, the annual money-weighed rate of return on investments, net of investment expense, was 24.91%. The money-weighted rate of return expresses investment performance, net of investment expense, adjusted for the changing amounts actually invested.

#### **Net OPEB Liability of the District**

The District's net OPEB liability of \$145,923,167 was measured as of June 30, 2021, and the total OPEB liability used to calculate the total OPEB liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The components of the net OPEB liability of the District at June 30, 2021, were as follows:

Total OPEB liability Plan fiduciary net position	\$ 163,864,843 (17,941,676)
Net OPEB liability	\$ 145,923,167
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total OPEB liability	10.95%

#### **Actuarial Assumptions**

The total OPEB liability in the June 30, 2021 actuarial valuation was using the following assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement, unless otherwise specified:

Inflation	2.50%
Salary increases	2.75%
Investment rate of return	6.75%
Healthcare cost trend rate	4.00%

The discount rate was based on the assumed long-term return on employer assets, using the building block method.

Mortality rates were based on the 2020 CalSTRS Mortality Table for certificated employees and the 2020 SFERS Mortality table for classified and miscellaneous employees. Mortality rates vary by age and sex. (Unisex mortality rates are not often used as individual OPEB benefits do not depend on the mortality table used.) If employees die prior to retirement, past contributions are available to fund benefits for employees who live to retirement. After retirement, death results in benefit termination or reduction. Although higher mortality rates reduce service costs, the mortality assumption is not likely to vary from employer to employer.

The actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2021 valuation were based on the results of an actual experience study as of May 2022.

The long-term expected rate of return on OPEB plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation. Best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class included in the target asset allocation as of June 30, 2021, (see the discussion of the Plan's investment policy) are summarized in the following table:

Asset Class	Long-Term Expected Real Rate of Return
Equity	8.75%
Fixed income	5.75%

#### **Discount Rate**

The discount rate used to measure the net OPEB liability was 6.75%. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that the District contributions will be made at rates equal to the actuarially determined contribution rates. Based on those assumptions, the OPEB plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected OPEB payments for current active and inactive employees. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on OPEB plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total OPEB liability.

#### **Changes in the Net OPEB Liability**

	1	ncrease (Decrease	)
	Total OPEB	Plan Fiduciary	Net OPEB
	Liability	<b>Net Position</b>	Liability
	(a)	(b)	(a) - (b)
Balance, June 30, 2020	\$ 137,463,960	\$ 18,936,619	\$ 118,527,341
Service cost	3,839,775	-	3,839,775
Interest	9,457,502	-	9,457,502
Difference between expected and			
actual experience	14,160,110	-	14,160,110
Contributions - employer	-	3,004,927	(3,004,927)
Expected investment income	-	1,063,705	(1,063,705)
Differences between projected and actual			
earnings on OPEB plan investments	-	5,423,018	(5,423,018)
Changes of assumptions	9,331,399	-	9,331,399
Benefit payments	(10,387,903)	(10,387,903)	-
Administrative expense		(98,690)	98,690
Net change in total OPEB liability	26,400,883	(994,943)	27,395,826
Balance, June 30, 2021	\$ 163,864,843	\$ 17,941,676	\$ 145,923,167

Changes of economic assumptions reflect a change in the discount rate from 7.00% to 6.75% and change in the inflation rate from 2.75% to 2.50% since the previous valuation. There were no changes in benefit terms since the previous valuation.

#### Sensitivity of the Net OPEB Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net OPEB liability of the District, as well as what the District's net OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percent lower or higher than the current rate:

	Net OPEB
Discount Rate	Liability
1% decrease (5.75%)	\$ 163,832,469
Current discount rate (6.75%)	145,923,167
1% increase (7.75%)	130,801,109

#### Sensitivity of the Net OPEB Liability to Changes in the Healthcare Cost Trend Rate

The following presents the net OPEB liability of the District, as well as what the District's net OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using healthcare cost trend rate that is one percent lower or higher than the current healthcare costs trend rate:

	Net OPEB
Healthcare Cost Trend Rate	Liability
1% decrease (3.00%)	\$ 128,619,422
Current healthcare cost trend rate (4.00%)	145,923,167
1% increase (5.00%)	166,707,537

#### **Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to OPEB**

At June 30, 2022, the District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB for the following:

	 erred Outflows f Resources	erred Inflows f Resources
OPEB contributions subsequent to measurement date Differences between expected and actual experience Changes of assumptions Net difference between projected and actual	\$ 16,617,650 12,329,531 7,387,357	\$ - 5,534,775 -
earnings on OPEB plan investments	 	 4,423,781
Total	\$ 36,334,538	\$ 9,958,556

The deferred outflows of resources related to OPEB resulting from the District's contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the total OPEB liability in the subsequent fiscal year.

The deferred outflows/(inflows) of resources related to the difference between projected and actual earnings on OPEB plan investments will be amortized over a closed five-year period and will be recognized in OPEB expense as follows:

Year Ended June 30,	Deferred Outflows/(Inflows) of Resources	
2023 2024 2025 2026	\$ (1,131,307) (1,135,786) (1,072,086) (1,084,602)	
Total	\$ (4,423,781)	

The deferred outflows/(inflows) of resources related to differences between expected and actual experience in the measurement of the total OPEB liability and changes of assumptions will be amortized over the Expected Average Remaining Service Life (EARSL) of all members that are provided benefits as of the beginning of the measurement period. The EARSL for the measurement period is 4.8 years and will be recognized in OPEB expense as follows:

Year Ended June 30,	Deferred Outflows/(Inflows) of Resources
2023	\$ 1,631,128
2024	3,517,785
2025	5,117,951
2026	3,915,249
Total	\$ 14,182,113

#### **Bookstore Plan**

#### **Plan Administration**

The District's governing board administers the Bookstore Postemployment Benefits Plan (the Plan). The Plan is a single-employer defined benefit plan that is used to provide postemployment benefits other than pensions (OPEB) for eligible retirees. No assets are accumulated in a trust that meets criteria in paragraph 4 of GASB Statement No. 75. Management of the plan is vested in the District management.

#### Plan Membership

At June 30, 2022, the valuation date, the Plan membership consisted of the following:

Inactive employees or beneficiaries currently receiving benefits payments	14
Active employees	-
Total	14

#### **Benefits Provided**

The Plan provides medical insurance benefits to eligible retirees. Benefits are provided through a third-party insurer, and a portion of the cost of benefits is covered by the Plan. The District's governing board has the authority to establish and amend the benefit terms as contained within the negotiated labor agreements.

The contribution requirements of Plan members and the District are established and may be amended by the District and the bargaining units. The voluntary contributions are based on projected pay-as-you-go financing requirements. For the measurement period ending June 30, 2022, the District contributed \$183,816 to the Plan, all of which was used for current premiums.

#### **Total OPEB Liability of the District**

The District's total OPEB liability of \$2,411,781 was measured as of June 30, 2022, and the total OPEB liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date.

#### **Actuarial Assumptions**

The total OPEB liability in the June 30, 2022 actuarial valuation was determined using the following assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement, unless otherwise specified:

Inflation	2.50%
Salary increases	2.75%
Discount rate	3.54%
Healthcare cost trend rates	4.00%

The discount rate was based on the Bond Buyer 20-Bond General Obligation Index.

Mortality rates were based on the 2017 CalPERS Retiree Mortality for All Employees Table for classified employees. Mortality rates vary by age and sex. (Unisex mortality rates are not often used as individual OPEB benefits do not depend on the mortality table used.) If employees die prior to retirement, past contributions are available to fund benefits for employees who live to retirement. After retirement, death results in benefit termination or reduction. Although higher mortality rates reduce service costs, the mortality assumption is not likely to vary from employer to employer.

The actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2022 valuation were based on the results of an actual experience study as of June 2021.

#### **Changes in the Total OPEB Liability**

	 otal OPEB Liability
Balance, June 30, 2021	\$ 3,311,935
Interest Differences between expected and actual experience Changes of assumptions Benefit payments	73,822 (369,222) (420,938) (183,816)
Net change in total OPEB liability	(900,154)
Balance, June 30, 2022	\$ 2,411,781

Changes of economic assumptions reflect a change in the discount rate from 2.16% to 3.54% since the previous valuation. There were no changes in benefit terms since the previous valuation.

#### Sensitivity of the Total OPEB Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the total OPEB liability of the District, as well as what the District's total OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percent lower or higher than the current rates:

	٦	Total OPEB	
Discount Rate		Liability	
1% decrease (2.54%)	\$	2,704,561	
Current discount rate (3.54%)		2,411,781	
1% increase (4.54%)		2,171,849	

#### Sensitivity of the Total OPEB Liability to Changes in the Healthcare Cost Trend Rate

The following presents the total OPEB liability of the District, as well as what the District's total OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using healthcare cost trend rate that is one percent lower or higher than the current healthcare costs trend rate:

Healthcare Cost Trend Rate	Т	otal OPEB Liability
1% decrease (3.00%)	\$	2,170,708
Current healthcare cost trend rate (4.00%)		2,411,781
1% increase (5.00%)		2,700,063

#### Medicare Premium Payment (MPP) Program

#### **Plan Description**

The Medicare Premium Payment (MPP) Program is administered by the California State Teachers' Retirement System (CalSTRS). The MPP Program is a cost-sharing multiple-employer other postemployment benefit plan (OPEB) established pursuant to Chapter 1032, Statutes 2000 (SB 1435). CalSTRS administers the MPP Program through the Teachers' Health Benefits Fund (THBF).

A full description of the MPP Program regarding benefit provisions, assumptions (for funding, but not accounting purposes), and membership information is listed in the June 30, 2020 annual actuarial valuation report, Medicare Premium Payment Program Actuarial Valuation. This report and CalSTRS audited financial information are publicly available reports that may be found on the CalSTRS website under Publications at: http://www.calstrs.com/member-publications.

#### **Benefits Provided**

The MPP Program pays Medicare Part A premiums and Medicare Parts A and B late enrollment surcharges for eligible members of the State Teachers Retirement Plan (STRP) Defined Benefit (DB) Program who were retired or began receiving a disability allowance prior to July 1, 2012 and were not eligible for premium free Medicare Part A. The payments are made directly to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) on a monthly basis.

The MPP Program is closed to new entrants as members who retire after July 1, 2012, are not eligible for coverage under the MPP Program.

The MPP Program is funded on a pay-as-you go basis from a portion of monthly District contributions. In accordance with California *Education Code* Section 25930, contributions that would otherwise be credited to the DB Program each month are instead credited to the MPP Program to fund monthly program and administrative costs. Total redirections to the MPP Program are monitored to ensure that total incurred costs do not exceed the amount initially identified as the cost of the program.

#### **Net OPEB Liability and OPEB Expense**

At June 30, 2022, the District reported a liability of \$829,637 for its proportionate share of the net OPEB liability for the MPP Program. The net OPEB liability was measured as of June 30, 2021, and the total OPEB liability used to calculate the net OPEB liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2020. The District's proportion of the net OPEB liability was based on a projection of the District's long-term share of contributions to the OPEB Plan relative to the projected contributions of all participating entities, actuarially determined. The District's proportionate share for the measurement period June 30, 2021 and June 30, 2020, was 0.2080% and 0.2349%, respectively, resulting in a net decrease in the proportionate share of 0.0269%.

For the year ended June 30, 2022, the District recognized OPEB expense of \$(165,732).

#### **Actuarial Methods and Assumptions**

The June 30, 2021 total OPEB liability was determined by applying updated procedures to the financial reporting actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2020, and rolling forward the total OPEB liability to June 30, 2021, using the assumptions listed in the following table:

Measurement Date	June 30, 2021
Valuation Date	June 30, 2020
Experience Study	July 1, 2015 through
	June 30, 2018
Actuarial Cost Method	Entry age normal
Investment Rate of Return	2.16%
Medicare Part A Premium Cost Trend Rate	4.50%
Medicare Part B Premium Cost Trend Rate	5.40%

For the valuation as of June 30, 2020, CalSTRS uses a generational mortality assumption, which involves the use of a base mortality table and projection scales to reflect expected annual reductions in mortality rates at each age, resulting in increases in life expectancies each year into the future. The base mortality tables are CalSTRS custom tables derived to best fit the patterns of mortality among our members. The projection scale was set equal to 110% of the ultimate improvement factor from the Mortality Improvement Scale (MP-2019) table, issued by the Society of Actuaries.

Assumptions were made about future participation (enrollment) into the MPP Program because CalSTRS is unable to determine which members not currently participating meet all eligibility criteria for enrollment in the future. Assumed enrollment rates were derived based on past experience and are stratified by age with the probability of enrollment diminishing as the members' age increases. This estimated enrollment rate was then applied to the population of members who may meet criteria necessary for eligibility and are not currently enrolled in the MPP Program. Based on this, the estimated number of future enrollments used in the financial reporting valuation was 245 or an average of 0.16% of the potentially eligible population (152,062).

The MPP Program is funded on a pay-as-you-go basis with contributions generally being made at the same time and in the same amount as benefit payments and expenses coming due. Any funds within the MPP Program as of June 30, 2021, were to manage differences between estimated and actual amounts to be paid and were invested in the Surplus Money Investment Fund, which is a pooled investment program administered by the State Treasurer.

#### **Discount Rate**

The discount rate used to measure the total OPEB liability as of June 30, 2021, is 2.16%. As the MPP Program is funded on a pay-as-you-go basis as previously noted, the OPEB Plan's fiduciary net position was not projected to be sufficient to make projected future benefit payments. Therefore, a discount rate of 2.16%, which is the Bond Buyer 20-Bond GO Index from Bondbuyer.com as of June 30, 2021, was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to measure the total OPEB liability. The discount rate decreased 0.05% from 2.21% as of June 30, 2020.

#### Sensitivity of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net OPEB Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the District's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability calculated using the current discount rate, as well as what the net OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percent lower or higher than the current rate:

Discount Rate		Net OPEB Liability		
1% decrease (1.16%)	\$	914,488		
Current discount rate (2.16%)		829,637		
1% increase (3.16%)		757,141		

## Sensitivity of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net OPEB Liability to Changes in the Medicare Costs Trend Rates

The following presents the District's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability calculated using the current Medicare costs trend rates, as well as what the net OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using the Medicare costs trend rates that is one percent lower or higher than the current rates:

Medicare Costs Trend Rates	let OPEB Liability
1% decrease (3.50% Part A and 4.40% Part B)	\$ 754,458
Current Medicare costs trend rates (4.50% Part A and 5.40% Part B)	829,637
1% increase (5.50% Part A and 6.40% Part B)	915,828

#### Note 10 - Risk Management

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to property, general liability, and employee benefits. These risks are addressed through a combination of participation in public entity risk pools, commercial insurance, and self-insurance. The District is fully self-insured for workers' compensation.

The District is a member of the Alliance of School Cooperative Insurance Program (ASCIP) and Schools Excess Liability Fund (SELF). The District is subject to various deductible amounts in addition to payment of premiums assessed by the pools. The pools are responsible for claims beyond the deductible amount and provide high-level umbrella type coverage above certain limits.

The risk pools are operated separately and are independently accountable for their fiscal matters. The risk pools are not component units of the District for financial reporting purposes. A copy of the most recent audited financial statements for the pools can be obtained from the District.

During the fiscal year, the District finances its risk of loss for the following deductible portion of the general liability, automotive liability, property claims, and student professional liability as follows:

General Liability	\$50,000
Automobile Liability	\$50,000
Property	\$25,000
Student Professional Liability	\$50,000

Estimates of liabilities for open claims, both reported and unreported, are established by the District's external administrator for known claims and by periodic actuarial valuations.

A number of claims and suits are pending against the District. In the opinion of District administration, the related liability, if any, will not materially affect the financial position of the District. No settlements exceeded insurance coverage during the last three years.

As of February 1, 2005, the District became a charter member of the Community College Insurance Group (CCIG). The District's membership is limited to dental insurance. As a result, the District transitioned from a self-insured system to a premium system. Premiums are adjusted annually based upon the previous year's experience.

As of June 30, 2022, liability for claims amounted to \$3,990,132, which is recorded in the self-insurance fund.

#### **Claims Liabilities**

The District establishes a liability for both reported and unreported events, which includes estimates of both future payments of losses and related claim adjustment expenses. The following represent the changes in approximate aggregate liabilities for the year ending June 30, 2022.

	Balance, July 1, 2021	Current Year Claims and Changes in Estimates	Claim Payments	Balance, June 30, 2022
Workers' Compensation	\$ 4,980,137	\$ (990,005)	\$ -	\$ 3,990,132
Assets available to pay claims at June 30, 2022				\$ 9,289,160

#### Note 11 - Employee Retirement Systems

Qualified employees are covered under multiple-employer defined benefit pension plans maintained by agencies of the State of California. Academic employees are members of the California State Teachers' Retirement System (CalSTRS), and classified employees are members of either the City and County of San Francisco Employees' Retirement System (SFERS) or the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS).

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, the District reported an aggregate net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources, and pension expense for each of the above plans as follows:

Pension Plan	Aggregate Net Pension Liability	Deferred Outflows of Resources	Deferred Inflows of Resources	Pension Expense
CalSTRS SFERS CalPERS Miscellaneous Plan	\$ 62,971,699 (36,105,659) 942,648	\$ 24,013,493 16,368,067 327,713	\$ 73,267,227 90,386,046 822,882	\$ 2,154,499 (14,107,033) 318,961
Total	\$ 27,808,688	\$ 40,709,273	\$ 164,476,155	\$ (11,633,573)

The details of each plan are as follows:

#### California State Teachers' Retirement System (CalSTRS)

#### **Plan Description**

The District contributes to the State Teachers' Retirement Plan (STRP) administered by CalSTRS. STRP is a cost-sharing multiple-employer public employee retirement system defined benefit pension plan. Benefit provisions are established by State statutes, as legislatively amended, within the State Teachers' Retirement Law.

A full description of the pension plan regarding benefit provisions, assumptions (for funding, but not accounting purposes), and membership information is listed in the June 30, 2020, annual actuarial valuation report, Defined Benefit Program Actuarial Valuation. This report and CalSTRS audited financial information are publicly available reports that may be found on the CalSTRS website under Publications at: http://www.calstrs.com/member-publications.

#### **Benefits Provided**

The STRP provides retirement, disability, and survivor benefits to beneficiaries. Benefits are based on members' final compensation, age, and years of service credit. Members hired on or before December 31, 2012, with five years of credited service are eligible for the normal retirement benefit at age 60. Members hired on or after January 1, 2013, with five years of credited service are eligible for the normal retirement benefit at age 62. The normal retirement benefit is equal to 2.0% of final compensation for each year of credited service.

The STRP is comprised of four programs: Defined Benefit Program, Defined Benefit Supplement Program, Cash Balance Benefit Program, and Replacement Benefits Program. The STRP holds assets for the exclusive purpose of providing benefits to members and beneficiaries of these programs. CalSTRS also uses plan assets to defray reasonable expenses of administering the STRP. Although CalSTRS is the administrator of the STRP, the State is the sponsor of the STRP and obligor of the trust. In addition, the State is both an employer and nonemployer contributing entity to the STRP.

The District contributes exclusively to the STRP Defined Benefit Program and Cash Balance Benefit Program; thus, disclosures are not included for the other plans.

The STRP Defined Benefit Program provisions and benefits in effect at June 30, 2022, are summarized as follows:

	On or before	On or after
Hire date	December 31, 2012	January 1, 2013
Benefit formula	2% at 60	2% at 62
Benefit vesting schedule	5 years of service	5 years of service
Benefit payments	Monthly for life	Monthly for life
Retirement age	60	62
Monthly benefits as a percentage of eligible compensation	2.0% - 2.4%	2.0% - 2.4%
Required employee contribution rate	10.25%	10.205%
Required employer contribution rate	16.92%	16.92%
Required State contribution rate	10.828%	10.828%

#### **Contributions**

Required member, District, and State of California contribution rates are set by the California Legislature and Governor and are detailed in Teachers' Retirement Law. The contribution rates are expressed as a level percentage of payroll using the entry age normal actuarial method. In accordance with California Assembly Bill 1469, employer contributions into the CalSTRS will be increasing to a total of 19.1% of applicable member earnings phased over a seven-year period. The contribution rates for each plan for the year ended June 30, 2022, are presented above, and the District's total contributions were \$10,523,220.

## Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At June 30, 2022, the District reported a liability for its proportionate share of the net pension liability that reflected a reduction for State pension support provided to the District. The amount recognized by the District as its proportionate share of the net pension liability, the related State support, and the total portion of the net pension liability that was associated with the District were as follows:

Total net pension liability, including State share:

District's proportionate share of net pension liability	\$ 62,971,699
State's proportionate share of net pension liability associated with the District	31,684,917

Total \$ 94,656,616

The net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2021. The District's proportion of the net pension liability was based on a projection of the District's long-term share of contributions to the pension plan relative to the projected contributions of all participating college districts and the State, actuarially determined. The District's proportionate share for the measurement periods of June 30, 2021 and June 30, 2020, was 0.1384% and 0.1400%, respectively, resulting in a net decrease in the proportionate share of 0.0016%.

For the year ended June 30, 2022, the District recognized pension expense of \$2,154,499. In addition, the District recognized pension expense and revenue of \$1,084,060 for support provided by the State. At June 30, 2022, the District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	Deferred Outflows of Resources		Deferred Inflows of Resources	
Pension contributions subsequent to measurement date Change in proportion and differences between contributions	\$	10,523,220	\$	-
made and District's proportionate share of contributions		4,410,105		16,753,490
Differences between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments		-		49,812,236
Differences between expected and actual experience in		157 740		6 701 501
the measurement of the total pension liability Changes of assumptions		157,748 8,922,420		6,701,501 -
Total	\$	24,013,493	\$	73,267,227

The deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from District contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the subsequent fiscal year.

The deferred outflows/(inflows) of resources related to the difference between projected and actual earning on pension plan investments will be amortized over a closed five-year period and will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year Ended June 30,	Deferred Outflows/(Inflows) of Resources
2023 2024 2025 2026	\$ (12,649,321) (11,569,996) (11,857,123) (13,735,796)
Total	\$ (49,812,236)

The deferred outflows/(inflows) of resources related to the change in proportion and differences between contributions made and District's proportionate share of contributions, differences between expected and actual experience in the measurement of the total pension liability, and changes of assumptions will be amortized over the Expected Average Remaining Service Life (EARSL) of all members that are provided benefits (active, inactive, and retirees) as of the beginning of the measurement period. The EARSL for the measurement period is seven years and will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year Ended June 30,	Deferred Outflows/(Inflows) of Resources
2023	\$ (353,014)
2024	505,859
2025	(3,629,367)
2026	(2,301,674)
2027	(2,987,403)
Thereafter	(1,199,119)
Total	\$ (9,964,718)

#### **Actuarial Methods and Assumptions**

Total pension liability for STRP was determined by applying updated procedures to the financial reporting actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2020, and rolling forward the total pension liability to June 30, 2021. The financial reporting actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2020, used the following methods and assumptions, applied to all prior periods included in the measurement:

Valuation date	June 30, 2020
Measurement date	June 30, 2021
Experience study	July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2018
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Discount rate	7.10%
Investment rate of return	7.10%
Consumer price inflation	2.75%
Wage growth	3.50%

CalSTRS uses a generational mortality assumption, which involves the use of a base mortality table and projection scales to reflect expected annual reductions in mortality rates at each age, resulting in increases in life expectancies each year into the future. The base mortality tables are CalSTRS custom tables derived to best fit the patterns of mortality among its members. The projection scale was set equal to 110% of the ultimate improvement factor from the Mortality Improvement Scale (MP-2019) table, issued by the Society of Actuaries.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. The best estimate ranges were developed using capital market assumptions from CalSTRS general investment consultant as an input to the process. The actuarial investment rate of return assumption was adopted by the board in January 2020 in conjunction with the most recent experience study. For each current and future valuation, CalSTRS' independent consulting actuary reviews the return assumption for reasonableness based on the most current capital market assumptions. Best estimates of 20-year geometrically-linked real rates of return and the assumed asset allocation for each major asset class for the year ended June 30, 2021, are summarized in the following table:

Asset Class	Assumed Asset Allocation	Long-Term Expected Real Rate of Return
Public equity	42%	4.8%
Private equity	13%	6.3%
Real estate	15%	3.6%
Inflation sensitive	6%	3.3%
Fixed income	12%	1.3%
Risk mitigating strategies	10%	1.8%
Cash/liquidity	2%	-0.4%

#### **Discount Rate**

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.10%. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed the contributions from plan members and employers will be made at statutory contribution rates. Projected inflows from investment earnings were calculated using the long-term assumed investment rate of return (7.10%) and assuming that contributions, benefit payments, and administrative expense occurred midyear. Based on these assumptions, the STRP's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments to current plan members. Therefore, the long-term assumed investment rate of return was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine total pension liability.

The following presents the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability calculated using the current discount rate, as well as what the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percent lower or higher than the current rate:

	Net Pension
Discount Rate	Liability
1% decrease (6.10%)	\$ 128,187,482
Current discount rate (7.10%)	62,971,699
1% increase (8.10%)	8,843,547

#### City and County of San Francisco Employees' Retirement System (SFERS)

#### **Plan Description**

Qualified employees are eligible to participate in the San Francisco Employees' Retirement System (SFERS); a cost-sharing multiple-employer, public employee, defined benefit pension plan administered by the City and County of San Francisco (the City). SFERS is a separate department of the City, deriving its powers, functions, and responsibility from the City Charter and ordinances of the Board of Supervisors of the City. Substantially all employees of the City and County are members, including most of the District's classified permanent full-time employees and certain certificated employees hired prior to July 1, 1972. Members are classified according to City bargaining units as police, fire, and miscellaneous. District employees are members of the miscellaneous pool. SFERS issues a separate annual financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. The SFERS annual financial report is available online at www.sfers.org.

#### **Benefits Provided**

The retirement system provides service retirement, disability, and death benefits based on specified percentages of defined final average monthly salary and annual cost-of-living adjustments after retirement. Employees with 20 years of service who have attained age 50 or those with 10 years of service who have attained age 60 are eligible for retirement benefits. The City Charter and the Administrative Code are the authorities that establish and amend the benefit provisions of the plan and employer and member obligations to the plan.

The SFERS provisions and benefits in effect at June 30, 2022, are summarized as follows:

	On or after	On or after	
	November 2, 1976	July 1, 2010	
	and before	and before	On or after
Hire date	July 1, 2010	July 7, 202	January 7, 2012
Benefit formula	2.3% at 62	2.3% at 62	2.3% at 65
Benefit vesting schedule	Age 50 with	Age 50 with	Age 53 with
	20 Years of	20 Years of	20 Years of
	Credited Service or	Credited Service or	Credited Service or
	Age 60 with	Age 60 with	Age 60 with
	10 Years of	10 Years of	10 Years of
	Credited Service	Credited Service	Credited Service
Benefit payments	Monthly for life	Monthly for life	Monthly for life
Retirement age	62	62	65
Maximum annual benefits	75%	75%	75%
Monthly benefits as a percentage of			
eligible compensation	1.00% - 2.30%	1.00% - 2.30%	1.00% - 2.30%
Required employee contribution rate	7.50%-11.50%	7.50%-11.50%	7.50%-11.50%
Required employer contribution rate	22.90%-26.90%	22.90%-26.90%	22.90%-26.90%

All retired members receive a benefit adjustment each July 1, which is the basic cost of living adjustment (COLA). The majority of adjustments are determined by changes in the Consumer Price Index with increases capped at 2%. The Plan provides for a supplemental COLA in years when there are sufficient "excess" investment earnings in the Plan and the Plan is fully funded on a market value of assets basis. The maximum benefit adjustment is 3.5% including that Basic COLA. For members hired on or after January 7, 2012, Supplemental COLAs will not be permanent adjustments to retirement benefits.

#### **Contributions**

Contributions are made to the plan by both the employers and the participating employees. The basic employer contributions are the amounts deemed necessary, on an actuarial basis using the entry age normal cost method, to provide the plan with assets sufficient to pay the basic benefits that are not provided for by employees' contributions. Employee and employer contributions are mandatory, as required by the City Charter. The District's contributions to SFERS, for the year ended June 30, 2022, were \$10,240,571.

## Net Pension Liability/Asset, Pension Expense, Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

As of June 30, 2022, the District reported net pension asset for its proportionate share of the collective SFERS net pension asset totaling \$36,105,659. The net pension asset of the plan is measured as of June 30, 2021, and the total pension liability for the plan used to calculate the net pension asset was determined by an actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2020. The District's proportion of the net pension asset was based on a projection of the District's long-term share of contributions to the pension plan relative to the projected contributions of all participating employers, actuarially determined. The District's proportionate share for the measurement period June 30, 2021 and June 30, 2020, was 1.3967% and 1.4256%, respectively, resulting in a net decrease in the proportionate share of 0.0289%.

For the year ended June 30, 2022, the District recognized pension expense of \$(14,107,033), including amortization of deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions. At June 30, 2022, the District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	erred Outflows f Resources	_	ferred Inflows f Resources
Pension contributions subsequent to measurement date Change in proportion and differences between contributions	\$ 10,240,571	\$	-
made and District's proportionate share of contributions  Differences between projected and actual earnings on	369,000		5,493,000
pension plan investments  Differences between expected and actual experience in	-		78,435,948
the measurement of the total pension liability	3,315,654		118,412
Changes of assumptions	2,442,842		6,338,686
Total	\$ 16,368,067	\$	90,386,046

The deferred outflows of resources resulting from District contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the subsequent fiscal year.

The remaining deferred outflows/(inflows) of resources will be amortized over a closed five-year period and will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year Ended June 30,	Deferred Outflows/(Inflows) of Resources
2023 2024 2025 2026	\$ (21,554,855) (19,505,379) (20,113,991) (23,084,325)
Total	\$ (84,258,550)

#### **Actuarial Assumptions**

The total pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2020. The following is a summary of the actuarial methods and assumptions used in the actuarial valuation:

Valuation date	June 30, 2020
Measurement date	June 30, 2021
Experience study	July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2014
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Discount rate	7.40%
Investment rate of return	7.40%
Consumer price inflation	2.75%
Wage growth	3.50%, plus merit component based on
	employee classification and years of service
Administrative expense	0.60% of payroll
Basic COLA	2.00%

Mortality rates for active members were based upon adjusted Employee CalPERS mortality tables projected generationally from the 2009 base year using a modified version of the MP-2015 projection scale.

The probability of a Supplemental COLA as of June 30, 2021, was developed based upon the probability and amount of Supplemental COLA for each future year. The table below shows the net assumed Supplemental COLA for members with a 2.00% basic COLA for sample years.

Fiscal Year	Assumption
2021	1.5%
2022	0.8%
2023	0.7%
2026	0.6%
2032	0.5%
Thereafter	0.5%

#### **Discount Rate**

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability as of June 30, 2021, was 7.40%. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that plan member contributions will continue to be made at the rates specified in the Charter. Employer contributions were assumed to be made in accordance with the contribution policy in effect for July 1, 2020, actuarial valuation. That policy includes contributions equal to the employer portion of the entry age normal costs for members as of the valuation data plus an amortization payment on the unfunded actuarial liability.

The plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make projected future benefit payments for current members until fiscal year end 2096, when only a portion of the projected benefit payments can be made from the projected fiduciary net position. Projected benefit payments are discounted at the long-term expected return on assets of 7.40% to the extent the fiduciary net position is available to make the payments and at the municipal bond rate of 2.16% to the extent they are not available. The single equivalent rate used to determine the total pension liability as of June 30, 2021, is 7.40%.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was 7.40%. It was set by the Retirement Board after consideration of both expected future returns and historical returns experienced by the by the Retirement System. Expected future returns were determined by using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return were developed for each major asset class. These ranges were combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation. Target allocation and best estimates of geometric long-term expected real rates of return (net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) for each major asset class are summarized in the following table:

Asset Class	Assumed Asset Allocation	Long-Term Expected Real Rate of Return
Global equity	37%	4.2%
Treasuries	8%	0.0%
Liquid credit	5%	2.3%
Private credit	10%	5.1%
Private equity	23%	7.9%
Real assets	10%	5.1%
Absolute return	10%	2.9%
Leverage	-3%	0.1%

The following presents the District's proportionate share of the net pension asset, calculated using the 7.40% discount rate, as well as what the District's allocation would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1% lower or 1% higher than the current rate:

Discount Rate	Net Pension Liability/(Asset)
1% decrease (6.40%)	\$ (24,063,381)
Current discount rate (7.40%)	(36,105,659)
1% increase (8.40%)	85,780,146

#### California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS)

#### **Plan Description**

Qualified employees are eligible to participate in the Public Agency Cost-Sharing Multiple-Employer Plan under CalPERS, a cost-sharing multiple-employer public employee retirement system defined benefit pension plan administered by CalPERS. Benefit provisions are established by State statues, as legislatively amended, within the Public Employees' Retirement Law.

The Public Agency Cost-Sharing Multiple-Employer Plan is comprised of a Miscellaneous Risk Pool and a Safety Risk Pool. Individual employers may sponsor more than one Miscellaneous or Safety plan. The District sponsors one Miscellaneous Risk Pool plan (the Plan). The Plan provides retirement and disability benefits, annual cost-of-living adjustments, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. Benefit provisions are established by State statutes, as legislatively amended, within the Public Employees' Retirement Law.

#### **Benefits Provided**

The Plan provides service retirement and disability benefits, annual cost of living adjustments and death benefits to plan members who must be public employees and beneficiaries. Benefits are based on years of service credit, a benefit factor, and the member's final compensation. Members hired on or before December 31, 2012, with five years of total service are eligible to retire at age 50 with statutorily reduced benefits. Members hired on or after January 1, 2013, with five years of total service are eligible to retire at age 52 with statutorily reduced benefits. All members are eligible for employment-related disability benefits regardless of length of service and non-duty disability benefits after five years of service. Disability benefits are determined in the same manner as retirement benefits, but are payable immediately without an actuarial reduction. The Post-Retirement Death Benefit is a one-time payment made to a retiree's designated survivor or estate upon the retiree's death. The Basic Death Benefit is paid to any member's beneficiary if the member dies while actively employed. An employee's eligible survivor may receive the 1957 Survivor Benefit if the member dies while actively employed, is at least age 50 (or 52 for members hired on or after January 1, 2013), and has at least five years of credited service. The cost of living adjustments for each plan are applied as specified by the Public Employees' Retirement Law.

The CalPERS School Employer Pool provisions and benefits in effect at June 30, 2022, are summarized as follows:

	On or before	On or after
Hire date	December 31, 2012	January 1, 2013
Benefit formula	2% at 55	2% at 62
Benefit vesting schedule	5 years of service	5 years of service
Benefit payments	Monthly for life	Monthly for life
Retirement age	55	62
Required employee contribution rate	N/A	N/A
Required employer contribution rate	N/A	N/A

#### **Contributions**

Section 20814(c) of the California Public Employees' Retirement Law requires that the employer contribution rates for all public employers be determined on an annual basis by the actuary and shall be effective on July 1 following notice of a change in the rate. Total plan contributions are calculated through the CalPERS annual actuarial valuation process. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability. The District is required to contribute the difference between the actuarially determined rate and the contribution rate of employees. The contribution rates are expressed as a percentage of annual payroll. The contribution rates for each plan for the year ended June 30, 2022, are presented above, and the total District contributions were \$154,895.

## Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

As of June 30, 2022, the District reported net pension liabilities for its proportionate share of the CalPERS net pension liability totaling \$942,648. The net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2021. The District's proportion of the net pension liability was based on a projection of the District's long-term share of contributions to the pension plan relative to the projected contributions of all participating college districts, actuarially determined. The District's proportionate share for the measurement periods of June 30, 2021 and June 30, 2020, was 0.0496% and 0.0334%, respectively, resulting in a net increase in the proportionate share of 0.0162%.

For the year ended June 30, 2022, the District recognized pension expense of \$318,961. At June 30, 2022, the District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	 red Outflows Resources	 rred Inflows Resources
Pension contributions subsequent to measurement date	\$ 154,895	\$ -
Change in proportion and differences between contributions made and District's proportionate share of contributions	67,111	-
Differences between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments	-	822,882
Differences between expected and actual experience in the measurement of the total pension liability	105,707	, -
Total	\$ 327,713	\$ 822,882

The deferred outflow of resources related to pensions resulting from District contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the subsequent fiscal year.

The deferred outflows/(inflows) of resources related to the difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments will be amortized over a closed five-year period and will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year Ended June 30,	Deferred Outflows/(Inflows) of Resources
2023 2024 2025 2026	\$ (207,371) (190,543) (197,566) (227,402)
Total	\$ (822,882)

The deferred outflows/(inflows) of resources related to the change in proportion and differences between contributions made and District's proportionate share of contributions, differences between expected and actual experience in the measurement of the total pension liability, and changes of assumptions will be amortized over the Expected Average Remaining Service Life (EARSL) of all members that are provided benefits (active, inactive, and retirees) as of the beginning of the measurement period. The EARSL for the measurement period is 3.8 years and will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year Ended June 30,	Deferred Outflows/(Inflov of Resources	•
2023 2024 2025	\$ 87,20 54,82 30,78	28
Total	\$ 172,81	L8

#### **Actuarial Methods and Assumptions**

Total pension liability for the SEP was determined by applying updated procedures to the financial reporting actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2020, and rolling forward the total pension liability to June 30, 2021. The financial reporting actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2020, used the following methods and assumptions, applied to all prior periods included in the measurement:

Valuation date	June 30, 2020
Measurement date	June 30, 2021
Experience study	July 1, 1997 through June 30, 2015
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Discount rate	7.15%
Investment rate of return	7.15%
Consumer price inflation	2.50%
Wage growth	Varies by entry age and service

The mortality table used was developed based on CalPERS-specific data. The table includes 15 years of mortality improvements using Society of Actuaries 90% of scale MP-2016.

In determining the long-term expected rate of return, CalPERS took into account both short-term and long-term market return expectations as well as the expected pension fund cash flows. Using historical returns of all the funds' asset classes, expected compound returns were calculated over the short-term (first ten years) and the long-term (11+ years) using a building-block approach. Using the expected nominal returns for both short-term and long-term, the present value of benefits was calculated for each fund. The expected rate of return was set by calculating the rounded single equivalent expected return that arrived at the same present value of benefits for cash flows as the one calculated using both short-term and long-term returns. The expected rate of return was then set equal to the single equivalent rate calculated above and adjusted to account for assumed administrative expenses. The target asset allocation and best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class are summarized in the following table:

Asset Class	Assumed Asset Allocation	Long-Term Expected Real Rate of Return
Clabal accito	F00/	F 000/
Global equity	50%	5.98%
Fixed income	28%	2.62%
Inflation assets	0%	1.81%
Private equity	8%	7.23%
Real assets	13%	4.93%
Liquidity	1%	-0.92%

#### **Discount Rate**

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.15%. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed the contributions from plan members and employers will be made at statutory contribution rates. Based on these assumptions, the Miscellaneous Risk Pool plan fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments to current plan members. Therefore, the long-term assumed investment rate of return was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine total pension liability.

The following presents the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability calculated using the current discount rate, as well as what the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percent lower or higher than the current rate:

Discount Rate	Net Pension Liability/(Asset)		
1% decrease (6.15%)	\$ 2,251,245		
Current discount rate (7.15%)	942,648		
1% increase (8.15%)	(139,150)		

#### **Social Security**

As established by Federal law, all public sector employees who are not members of their employer's existing retirement system (CalSTRS or CalPERS) must be covered by Social Security or an alternative plan. The District has elected to use the Social Security as its alternative plan. Contributions made by the District and an employee vest immediately. The District contributes 6.20% of an employee's gross earnings. An employee is required to contribute 6.20% of his or her gross earnings to the pension plan.

#### **On Behalf Payments**

The State of California makes contributions to CalSTRS on behalf of the District. These payments consist of State General Fund contributions to CalSTRS for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, which amounted to \$8,899,240 (10.828%) of salaries subject to CalSTRS. Under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, these amounts are to be reported as revenues and expenditures. These amounts have been reflected in the basic financial statements as a component of operating revenue and employee benefit expense.

#### **Deferred Compensation**

The District offers its employees a CalPERS administered 457 Deferred Compensation Program (the Program). The Program, available to all permanent employees, permits them to defer a portion of pre-tax salary into investment of an individual's own choosing until future years. The deferred compensation is not available to the employees or their beneficiaries until termination, retirement, death, or an unforeseeable emergency. The CalPERS Board controls the investment and administrative functions of the CalPERS 457 Deferred Compensation Program. The Board for the exclusive benefit of participating employees, which adds security, holds the assets in trust.

#### Note 12 - Commitments and Contingencies

#### **Grants**

The District receives financial assistance from Federal and State agencies in the form of grants. The disbursement of funds received under these programs generally requires compliance with terms and conditions specified in the grant agreements and is subject to audit by the grantor agencies. Any disallowed claims resulting from such audits could become a liability of the District. However, in the opinion of management, any such disallowed claims will not have a material adverse effect on the overall financial position of the District at June 30, 2022.

#### Litigation

The District is involved in various litigation arising from the normal course of business. In the opinion of management and legal counsel, the disposition of all litigation pending is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the overall financial position of the District at June 30, 2022.

#### **Construction Commitments**

The District had several commitments with respect to the unfinished capital projects. These projects are funded through a combination of general obligation bonds and capital project apportionments from the California State Chancellor's Office. As of June 30, 2022, the total amount committed was approximately \$31.4 million.



Required Supplementary Information June 30, 2022

# San Francisco Community College District

Schedule of Changes in the District's Net OPEB Liability and Related Ratios – District Plan Year Ended June 30, 2022

	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Total OPEB Liability Service cost Interest	\$ 3,839,775 9,457,502	\$ 3,737,007 9,144,014	\$ 4,715,900 9,938,445	\$ 4,761,606 9,533,290	\$ 5,382,732 9,134,663
Difference between expected and actual experience Changes of assumptions	14,160,110 9,331,399	2,015,002	(16,416,531)	(1,314,630)	- -
Benefit payments	(10,387,903)	(10,369,665)	(8,900,749)	(7,692,202)	(8,144,914)
Net change in total OPEB liability	26,400,883	4,526,358	(10,662,935)	5,288,064	6,372,481
Total OPEB Liability - Beginning	137,463,960	132,937,602	143,600,537	138,312,473	131,939,992
Total OPEB Liability - Ending (a)	\$163,864,843	\$137,463,960	\$132,937,602	\$143,600,537	\$138,312,473
Plan Fiduciary Net Position Contributions - employer Expected investment income Differences between projected and actual	\$ 3,004,927 1,063,705	\$ 3,952,839 1,515,343	\$ 12,187,894 1,440,540	\$ 13,966,777 1,080,198	\$ 11,983,985 1,243,581
earnings on OPEB plan investments Benefit payments Administrative expense	5,423,018 (10,387,903) (98,690)	(62,602) (10,369,665) (62,507)	318,531 (8,900,749) (37,145)	(22,427) (9,006,832) (30,000)	- (8,144,914) -
Net change in plan fiduciary net position	(994,943)	(5,026,592)	5,009,071	5,987,716	5,082,652
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Beginning	18,936,619	23,963,211	18,954,140	12,966,424	7,883,772
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Ending (b)	\$ 17,941,676	\$ 18,936,619	\$ 23,963,211	\$ 18,954,140	\$ 12,966,424
Net OPEB Liability - Ending (a) - (b)	\$145,923,167	\$118,527,341	\$108,974,391	\$124,646,397	\$125,346,049
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total OPEB Liability	10.95%	13.78%	18.03%	13.20%	9.37%
Covered Employee Payroll	\$125,512,265	\$140,596,160	\$137,659,895	\$129,610,167	\$131,979,430
Net OPEB Liability as a Percentage					
of Covered Employee Payroll	116.26%	84.30%	79.16%	96.17%	94.97%
Measurement Date	June 30, 2021	June 30, 2020	June 30, 2019	June 30, 2018	June 30, 2017

Schedule of OPEB Investment Returns Year Ended June 30, 2022

	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Annual money-weighted rate of return, net of investment expense	24.91%	5.12%	8.64%	6.42%	12.17%
Measurement Date	June 30, 2021	June 30, 2020	June 30, 2019	June 30, 2018	June 30, 2017

Schedule of Changes in the District's Total OPEB Liability and Related Ratios – Bookstore Plan Year Ended June 30, 2022

	2022		2021		2020		2019	
						2020		2013
Total OPEB Liability								
Interest	\$	73,822	\$	74,872	\$	96,622	\$	1,724,354
Difference between expected and								
actual experience		(369,222)		-		(67,419)		-
Changes of assumptions		(420,938)		18,074		502,174		111,669
Benefit payments		(183,816)		(187,741)		(184,020)		(176,650)
Net change in total OPEB liability		(900,154)		(94,795)		347,357		1,659,373
Total OPEB Liability - Beginning		3,311,935		3,406,730		3,059,373		1,400,000
Total OPEB Liability - Ending	\$	2,411,781	\$	3,311,935	\$	3,406,730	\$	3,059,373
Covered Payroll		N/A <sup>1</sup>		N/A <sup>1</sup>		N/A <sup>1</sup>		N/A <sup>1</sup>
Total OPEB Liability as a Percentage of Covered Payroll		N/A <sup>1</sup>		N/A <sup>1</sup>		N/A <sup>1</sup>		N/A <sup>1</sup>
Measurement Date	June 30, 2022		June 30, 2021		June 30, 2020		Ju	ne 30, 2019

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As of the 2017 fiscal year, there was no covered payroll associated with employees covered by the Bookstore OPEB Plan.

Schedule of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net OPEB Liability – MPP Program Year Ended June 30, 2022

Year ended June 30,	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Proportion of the net OPEB liability	0.2080%	0.2349%	0.2637%	0.2500%	0.2714%
Proportionate share of the net OPEB liability	\$ 829,637	\$ 995,369	\$ 981,881	\$ 957,049	\$ 1,141,807
Covered payroll	N/A <sup>1</sup>				
Proportionate share of the net OPEB liability as a percentage of it's covered payroll	N/A <sup>1</sup>				
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total OPEB liability	-0.80%	-0.71%	-0.81%	-0.40%	0.01%
Measurement Date	June 30, 2021	June 30, 2020	June 30, 2019	June 30, 2018	June 30, 2017

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As of June 30, 2012, active members are no longer eligible for future enrollment in the MPP Program; therefore, the covered payroll disclosure is not applicable.

### San Francisco Community College District Schedule of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability Year Ended June 30, 2022

	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
CalSTRS								
Proportion of the net pension liability	0.1384%	0.1400%	0.1559%	0.1472%	0.1588%	0.1578%	0.1637%	0.1838%
Proportionate share of the net pension liability State's proportionate share of the net pension	\$ 62,971,699	\$ 135,713,911	\$ 140,845,704	\$ 135,303,355	\$ 146,856,856	\$ 127,669,976	\$ 110,236,539	\$ 109,162,777
liability associated with the District	31,684,917	69,960,537	76,840,735	77,467,499	86,879,275	72,680,240	58,302,977	65,917,198
Total	\$ 94,656,616	\$ 205,674,448	\$ 217,686,439	\$ 212,770,854	\$ 233,736,131	\$ 200,350,216	\$ 168,539,516	\$ 175,079,975
Covered payroll	\$ 73,848,916	\$ 85,192,801	\$ 84,911,413	\$ 82,254,089	\$ 88,682,576	\$ 77,235,937	\$ 73,432,379	\$ 85,079,413
Proportionate share of the net pension liability as a percentage of its covered payroll	85.27%	159.30%	165.87%	164.49%	165.60%	165.30%	150.12%	128.31%
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	87%	72%	73%	71%	69%	70%	74%	77%
Measurement Date	June 30, 2021	June 30, 2020	June 30, 2019	June 30, 2018	June 30, 2017	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015	June 30, 2014
SEFRS								
Proportion of the net pension liability	1.3967%	1.4256%	1.5791%	1.5814%	1.5403%	1.4766%	1.5795%	1.7522%
Proportionate share of the net pension liability/(asset)	\$ (36,105,659)	\$ 77,136,365	\$ 70,690,622	\$ 67,726,728	\$ 76,912,801	\$ 85,831,480	\$ 36,265,841	\$ 31,021,562
Covered payroll	\$ 51,663,349	\$ 55,403,359	\$ 52,748,482	\$ 47,356,078	\$ 43,296,854	\$ 39,223,199	\$ 40,264,153	\$ 41,365,456
Proportionate share of the net pension liability/ (asset) as a percentage of its covered payroll	-69.89%	139.23%	134.01%	143.02%	177.64%	218.83%	90.07%	74.99%
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	108%	83%	85%	85%	82%	77%	90%	92%
Measurement Date	June 30, 2021	June 30, 2020	June 30, 2019	June 30, 2018	June 30, 2017	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015	June 30, 2014

Note: In the future, as data becomes available, ten years of information will be presented.

## San Francisco Community College District Schedule of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability

Schedule of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability Year Ended June 30, 2022

	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
CalPERS Miscellaneous Plan								
Proportion of the net pension liability	0.0496%	0.0334%	0.0343%	0.0340%	0.0330%	0.0321%	0.0301%	0.0193%
Proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$ 942,648	\$ 1,406,918	\$ 1,375,292	\$ 1,282,902	\$ 1,300,751	\$ 1,115,251	\$ 2,626,907	\$ 2,193,486
Covered payroll	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$ 1,850,556	\$ 1,973,862	\$ 1,981,879
Proportionate share of the net pension liability as a percentage of its covered payroll	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	60.27%	133.08%	110.68%
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	81%	78%	78%	78%	75%	76%	80%	81%
Measurement Date	June 30, 2021	June 30, 2020	June 30, 2019	June 30, 2018	June 30, 2017	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015	June 30, 2014

Note: In the future, as data becomes available, ten years of information will be presented.

N/A - As of the 2017 fiscal year, there was no covered payroll associated with employees covered by the CalPERS Miscellaneous Plan.

		2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
CalSTRS		2022	 2021	 2020	 2013	 2010	 2017	 2010	 2013
Contractually required contribution Contributions in relation to the contractually	\$	10,523,220	\$ 11,926,600	\$ 14,567,969	\$ 13,823,578	\$ 11,869,265	\$ 11,156,268	\$ 8,287,416	\$ 6,520,795
required contribution	(	10,523,220)	(11,926,600)	 (14,567,969)	(13,823,578)	(11,869,265)	(11,156,268)	(8,287,416)	(6,520,795)
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$		\$ 	\$ 	\$ 	\$ 	\$ 	\$ 	\$ -
Covered payroll	\$	62,193,972	\$ 73,848,916	\$ 85,192,801	\$ 84,911,413	\$ 82,254,089	\$ 88,682,576	\$ 77,235,937	\$ 73,432,379
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll		16.92%	16.15%	17.10%	16.28%	14.43%	12.58%	10.73%	8.88%
SEFRS									
Contractually required contribution Contributions in relation to the contractually	\$	10,240,571	\$ 11,776,207	\$ 10,587,582	\$ 9,474,507	\$ 9,219,890	\$ 8,192,668	\$ 7,819,687	\$ 9,603,924
required contribution	(	10,240,571)	(11,776,207)	 (10,587,582)	(9,474,507)	(9,219,890)	(8,192,668)	(7,819,687)	(9,603,924)
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$		\$ 	\$ 	\$ 	\$ 	\$ _	\$ 	\$ -
Covered payroll	\$	50,614,983	\$ 51,663,349	\$ 55,403,359	\$ 52,748,482	\$ 47,356,078	\$ 43,296,854	\$ 39,223,199	\$ 40,264,153
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll		20.23%	22.79%	19.11%	17.96%	19.47%	18.92%	19.94%	23.85%
CalPERS Miscellaneous Plan									
Contractually required contribution Contributions in relation to the contractually	\$	154,895	\$ 145,513	\$ 131,064	\$ 93,234	\$ 60,954	\$ 49,922	\$ 424,160	\$ 232,343
required contribution		(154,895)	(145,513)	(131,064)	(93,234)	(60,954)	(49,922)	(424,160)	(232,343)
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$	-	\$ -						
Covered payroll		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$ 1,850,556	\$ 1,973,862
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	22.92%	11.77%

*Note*: In the future, as data becomes available, ten years of information will be presented.

N/A - As of the 2017 fiscal year, there was no covered payroll associated with employees covered by the CalPERS Miscellaneous Plan.

#### Note 1 - Purpose of Schedules

#### Schedule of Changes in the District's Net OPEB Liability and Related Ratios - District Plan

This schedule presents information on the District's changes in the net OPEB liability, including beginning and ending balances, the Plan's fiduciary net position, and the net OPEB liability. In the future, as data becomes available, ten years of information will be presented.

- Changes in Benefit Terms There were no changes in the benefit terms since the previous valuation.
- Changes of Assumptions The plan rate of investment return assumption was changed from 7.00% to 6.75% and the inflation rate was changed from 2.75% to 2.50% since the previous valuation.

#### **Schedule of OPEB Investment Returns**

This schedule presents information on the annual money-weighted rate of return on OPEB plan investments. In future years, as data becomes available, ten years of information will be presented.

#### Schedule of Changes in the District's Total OPEB Liability and Related Ratios - Bookstore Plan

This schedule presents information on the District's changes in the total OPEB liability, including beginning and ending balances, the Plan's fiduciary net position, and the total OPEB liability. In the future, as data becomes available, ten years of information will be presented.

- Changes in Benefit Terms There were no changes in the benefit terms since the previous valuation.
- Changes of Assumptions The plan rate of investment return assumption was changed from 2.16% to 3.54% since the previous valuation.

#### Schedule of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net OPEB Liability - MPP Program

This schedule presents information on the District's proportionate share of the net OPEB Liability – MPP Program and the plans' fiduciary net position. In the future, as data becomes available, ten years of information will be presented.

- Changes in Benefit Terms There were no changes in the benefit terms since the previous valuation.
- Changes of Assumptions The plan rate of investment return assumption was changed from 2.21% to 2.16% since the previous valuation.

#### Schedule of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability

This schedule presents information on the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability (NPL), the plans' fiduciary net positions and, when applicable, the State's proportionate share of the NPL associated with the District. In the future, as data becomes available, ten years of information will be presented.

- Changes in Benefit Terms There were no changes in benefit terms since the previous valuations for CalSTRS, SFERS, or CalPERS.
- Changes of Assumptions There were no changes in changes in assumptions or other inputs since the previous valuations for CalSTRS, SFERS, or CalPERS.

#### **Schedule of the District Contributions for Pensions**

This schedule presents information on the District's required contribution, the amounts actually contributed, and any excess or deficiency related to the required contribution. In the future, as data becomes available, ten years of information will be presented.



Supplementary Information June 30, 2022

## San Francisco Community College District

San Francisco Community College District was established in 1935 and is located in San Francisco County. There were no changes in the boundaries of the District during the current year. The District's college is accredited by the Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges, Western Association of Schools and Colleges, which is one of six regional associations that accredit public and private schools, colleges, and universities in the United States.

#### Board of Trustees as of June 30, 2022

Member	Office	Term Expires
Brigitte Davila	President	2022
John Rizzo	Vice President	2022
Aliya Chisti	Member	2024
Murrell Green	Member	2022
Thea Selby	Member	2022
Shanell Williams	Member	2024
Alan Wong	Member	2024
Malinalli Villalobos	Student Trustee	2022

#### Administration as of June 30, 2022

David Martin	Chancellor
John Al-Amin	Vice Chancellor, Finance and Administration
Geisce Ly	Interim Vice Vice Chancellor, Academic and
	Institutional Affairs
Lisa Cooper Wilkins	Vice Chancellor, Student Affairs

#### **Auxiliary Organizations in Good Standing**

The District did not identify any auxiliary organizations in good standing.

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal Financial Assistance Listing/Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Entity Identifying Number	Federal Expenditures	Amounts Passed through to Subrecipients
U.S. Department of Education				
Student Financial Assistance Cluster				
Federal Pell Grant Program	84.063		\$ 11,977,616	\$ -
Federal Pell Grant Program Administrative Allowance	84.063		17,290	-
Federal Work-Study Program	84.033		630,307	-
Federal Direct Student Loans	84.268		725,415	-
Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants (FSEOG)	84.007		691,136	-
Federal Perkins Loans	84.038		1,356,078	
Subtotal Student Financial Assistance Cluster			15,397,842	
TRIO Cluster				
TRIO Student Support Services	84.042A		435,328	
Subtotal TRIO Cluster			435,328	
COVID-19: Higher Education Emergency Relief Funds,				
Student Portion	84.425E		13,786,650	-
COVID-19: Higher Education Emergency Relief Funds,				
Institutional Portion	84.425F		10,663,168	-
COVID-19: Higher Education Emergency Relief Funds,				
Minority Serving Institutions	84.425L		9,684	
Subtotal			24,459,502	-
Project TRANSLATES	84.031L		6,633	
Passed through California Department of Education (CDE)	01.0312		0,033	
Adult Basic Education and ELA	84.002A	14508	796,526	_
Adult Secondary Education	84.002A	13978	90,979	-
, Subtotal			887,505	
Passed through California Community Colleges			30.,500	
Chancellor's Office				
Career and Technical Education Act, Title I, Part C	84.048A	21-C01-048	767,361	-
Total U.S. Department of Education			41,954,171	
			,	•
U.S. Department of the Treasury				
Passed through California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office	24 027	[4]	1.040.200	
COVID-19: Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds	21.027	[1]	1,048,208	
National Science Foundation				
Research and Development Cluster				
Biolink Next Gen	47.076		67,574	-
Advanced Technology Education	47.076		108,686	
Growing CTE/STEM Teachers	47.076		102,861	
Subtotal Research and Development Cluster			279,121	
U. S. Department of Veterans Affairs				
Veterans Education	64.120		2,427	-
[1] Door Thurston Fatity Niverbox and available				

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal Financial Assistance Listing/Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Entity Identifying Number	Federal Expenditures	Amounts Passed through to Subrecipients
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services				
Passed through County of Sonoma				
Community Health Workers for Public Health and Response	93.495	2021-1142-A00	\$ 194,042	\$ -
Passed through California Community Colleges				
Chancellor's Office				
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	93.558	[1]	66,853	-
Foster and Kinship Care Education	93.658	[1]	22,878	-
Passed through City and County of San Francisco				
Foster Care Title IV-E	93.658	[1]	815,856	359,707
Subtotal			838,734	359,707
Passed through California Department of Education (CDE)				
Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF) Cluster				
Child Care Development Block Grant - Centers Based	93.575	15136	7,805	-
Child Care Development Block Grant - Centers Based	93.575	14551	9,240	-
Child Care Mandatory and Matching Funds of the				
Child Care and Development Fund	93.596	13609	16,978	
Subtotal CCDF Cluster			34,023	
Total U.S. Department of Health				
and Human Services			1,133,652	359,707
Total Federal Financial Assistance			\$ 44,417,579	\$ 359,707

<sup>[1]</sup> Pass-Through Entity Number not available.

### San Francisco Community College District Schedule of Expenditures of State Awards Year Ended June 30, 2022

		Program Revenues						
Drogram	Cash Received	Accounts Receivable	Unearned	Total Revenue	Program Expenditures			
Program	Received	Receivable	Revenue	Revenue	Expenditures			
AB 86 Adult Education Consortium	\$ 5,227,262	\$ -	\$ 1,866,148	\$ 3,361,114	\$ 3,361,114			
AB 1290 Scheduled Maintenance	6,730,296	266,910	6,614,802	382,404	382,404			
Basic Needs Center	374,619	-	374,619	-	-			
Board of Financial Aid	619,879	-	-	619,879	619,879			
CA Institute for Reg Medicine	463,426	-	57,579	405,847	405,847			
Cal Fresh Outreach SB65	38,627	-	2,025	36,602	36,602			
Cal Grant	2,505,363	10,065	-	2,515,428	2,515,428			
California College Promise	1,637,864	-	211,224	1,426,640	1,426,640			
California Nursing Support	215,646	-	-	215,646	215,646			
CalWORKs	397,078	-	44,609	352,469	352,469			
Campus Safety	15,700	-	15,700	-	-			
CARE	155,213	-	-	155,213	155,213			
CCCCO MESA	171,562	-	106,633	64,929	64,929			
Center Based Child Development	72,197	-	-	72,197	72,197			
Child Care Tax Bailout	213,958	-	49,291	164,667	164,667			
COVID-19 Response Block Grant	1,337,398	-	-	1,337,398	1,337,398			
Culturally Competent Faculty Development	50,434	-	18,317	32,117	32,117			
DSPS	3,447,517	-	1,415,338	2,032,179	2,032,179			
Economic Workforce Development	384,667	-	56,006	328,661	328,661			
EEO Best Practice	208,333	-	192,069	16,264	16,264			
EOPS	1,824,402	-	-	1,824,402	1,824,402			
Equal Employment Opportunity	151,824	-	127,790	24,034	24,034			
Financial Aid Technology	357,349	-	288,087	69,262	69,262			
Foster Parenting	32,668	-	-	32,668	32,668			
Full Time Student Success Grant	1,803,860	-	80,462	1,723,398	1,723,398			
Guided Pathway	341,811	-	113,159	228,652	228,652			
Hunger Free Campus	66,931	-	16,732	50,199	50,199			
Incarcerated Students Reentry Program	42,997	-	-	42,997	42,997			
Innovation for Higher Education	1,121,643	-	1,075,085	46,558	46,558			

# San Francisco Community College District Schedule of Expenditures of State Awards Year Ended June 30, 2022

		Program Revenues							
	Cash	Accounts	Unearned	Total	Program				
Program	Received	Receivable	Revenue	Revenue	Expenditures				
LGBTQ+ Support Center	\$ 178,367	\$ -	\$ 178,367	\$ -	\$ -				
Library Services Platform	20,645	-	20,645	-	-				
Mental Health Support Program	52,436	-	52,436	-	-				
Retention and Enrollment Outreach	2,995,883	-	2,727,162	268,721	268,721				
San Francisco First 5 Preschool	818,716	-	78,303	740,413	75,150				
SPDPH/MHSA MHCHW	-	255,000	-	255,000	255,000				
State Preschool	415,150	-	-	415,150	415,150				
Student Equity and Achievement (SEA) Program	11,967,493	-	3,738,287	8,229,206	8,227,369				
Student Food and Housing Support	357,236	-	357,236	-	-				
Strong Workforce Program - Local	228,150	1,751,209	-	1,979,359	1,979,359				
Strong Workforce Program - State	6,963,627	-	3,793,115	3,170,512	3,170,512				
The Puente Project	9,532	-	9,362	170	170				
Undocumented Resource Liaisons	250,646	-	20,208	230,438	230,438				
Veteran Resource Center	955,116		577,250	377,866	377,866				
Total state programs	\$ 55,223,521	\$ 2,283,184	\$ 24,278,046	\$ 33,228,659	\$ 32,561,559				

	Revised Reported Data**	Audit Adjustments	Audited Data
CATEGORIES			
<ul> <li>A. Summer Intersession (Summer 2021 only)</li> <li>1. Noncredit*</li> <li>2. Credit</li> </ul>	194.66 853.61	-	194.66 853.61
<ul> <li>B. Summer Intersession (Summer 2022 - Prior to July 1, 2022)</li> <li>1. Noncredit*</li> <li>2. Credit</li> </ul>	- -	- -	- -
C. Primary Terms (Exclusive of Summer Intersession)			
<ol> <li>Census Procedure Courses         <ul> <li>(a) Weekly Census Contact Hours</li> <li>(b) Daily Census Contact Hours</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	6,184.70 960.91	-	6,184.70 960.91
2. Actual Hours of Attendance Procedure Courses			
<ul><li>(a) Noncredit*</li><li>(b) Credit</li></ul>	290.47 411.63	-	290.47 411.63
<ul> <li>3. Alternative Attendance Accounting Procedure Courses</li> <li>(a) Weekly Census Procedure Courses</li> <li>(b) Daily Census Procedure Courses</li> <li>(c) Noncredit Independent Study/Distance Education Courses</li> </ul>	2,909.80 - 2,723.32	-	2,909.80 - 2,723.32
	<u> </u>	·	·
D. Total FTES	14,529.10		14,529.10
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION (Subset of Above Information)			
E. In-Service Training Courses (FTES)	-	-	-
<ul><li>F. Basic Skills Courses and Immigrant Education</li><li>1. Noncredit*</li><li>2. Credit</li></ul>	2,775.02 18.10	-	2,775.02 18.10
CCFS-320 Addendum CDCP Noncredit FTES	2,570.50	-	2,570.50
Centers FTES  1. Noncredit*  2. Credit	19.35 453.79	- -	19.35 453.79

<sup>\*</sup>Including Career Development and College Preparation (CDCP) FTES.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Annual report revised as of September 28, 2022.

Reconciliation of *Education Code* Section 84362 (50 Percent Law) Calculation Year Ended June 30, 2022

			ECS 84362 A			ECS 84362 B	
		Inst	ructional Salary	Cost		Total CEE	
		AC 010	00 - 5900 and A	.C 6110		AC 0100 - 6799	
	Object/TOP	Reported	Audit	Revised	Reported	Audit	Revised
	Codes	Data	Adjustments	Data	Data	Adjustments	Data
Academic Salaries							
Instructional Salaries		l .	l .		1.		
Contract or Regular	1100	\$34,739,511	\$ -	\$34,739,511	\$ 35,029,046	\$ -	\$ 35,029,046
Other	1300	12,714,498	-	12,714,498	12,879,547	-	12,879,547
Total Instructional Salaries		47,454,009	-	47,454,009	47,908,593	-	47,908,593
Noninstructional Salaries							
Contract or Regular	1200	-	-	-	8,148,264	-	8,148,264
Other	1400	-	-	-	1,981,666	-	1,981,666
Total Noninstructional Salaries		-	-	-	10,129,930	-	10,129,930
Total Academic Salaries		47,454,009	-	47,454,009	58,038,523	-	58,038,523
<u>Classified Salaries</u>							
Noninstructional Salaries							
Regular Status	2100	-	-	-	31,212,965	-	31,212,965
Other	2300	-	-	-	2,625,762	-	2,625,762
Total Noninstructional Salaries		-	-	-	33,838,727	-	33,838,727
Instructional Aides							
Regular Status	2200	1,794,023	-	1,794,023	2,557,738	-	2,557,738
Other	2400	468,118	-	468,118	504,546	-	504,546
Total Instructional Aides		2,262,141	-	2,262,141	3,062,284	-	3,062,284
Total Classified Salaries		2,262,141	-	2,262,141	36,901,011	-	36,901,011
Employee Benefits	3000	24,560,072	-	24,560,072	52,623,687	-	52,623,687
Supplies and Material	4000	-	-	-	193,850	-	193,850
Other Operating Expenses	5000	-	-	-	14,836,469	-	14,836,469
Equipment Replacement	6420	-	-	-	196,938	-	196,938
Total Expenditures							
Prior to Exclusions		74,276,222	-	74,276,222	162,790,478	-	162,790,478

Reconciliation of *Education Code* Section 84362 (50 Percent Law) Calculation Year Ended June 30, 2022

			ECS 84362 A ECS 84362 B Instructional Salary Cost Total CEE AC 0100 - 5900 and AC 6110 AC 0100 - 6799				
	Object/TOP	Reported	Audit	Revised	Reported	Audit	Revised
	Codes	Data	Adjustments	Data	Data	Adjustments	Data
Exclusions Activities to Exclude Instructional Staff - Retirees' Benefits and							
Retirement Incentives Student Health Services Above Amount	5900	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Collected	6441	-	-	-	-	-	-
Student Transportation	6491	-	-	-	-	-	-
Noninstructional Staff - Retirees' Benefits							
and Retirement Incentives	6740	-	-	-	10,258,411	-	10,258,411
Objects to Exclude							
Rents and Leases	5060	-	-	-	563,519	-	563,519
Lottery Expenditures							-
Academic Salaries	1000	-	-	-	1,648,497	-	1,648,497
Classified Salaries	2000	-	-	-	1,581,903	-	1,581,903
Employee Benefits	3000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Supplies and Materials	4000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Software	4100	-	-	-	-	-	-
Books, Magazines, and Periodicals	4200	-	-	-	202.004	-	202.004
Instructional Supplies and Materials	4300	-	-	-	283,094	-	283,094
Noninstructional Supplies and Materials	4400	-	-	-	202.004	-	202.004
Total Supplies and Materials		-	-	-	283,094	-	283,094

Reconciliation of *Education Code* Section 84362 (50 Percent Law) Calculation Year Ended June 30, 2022

		ECS 84362 A Instructional Salary Cost AC 0100 - 5900 and AC 6110		ECS 84362 B Total CEE AC 0100 - 6799			
	Object/TOP	Reported	Audit	Revised	Reported	Audit	Revised
	Codes	Data	Adjustments	Data	Data	Adjustments	Data
Other Operating Expenses and Services	5000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Capital Outlay	6000						
Library Books	6300	-	-	-	-	-	-
Equipment	6400	-	-	-	-	-	-
Equipment - Additional	6410	-	-	-	-	-	-
Equipment - Replacement	6420	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Equipment		-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Capital Outlay							
Other Outgo	7000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Exclusions		-	1	-	14,335,424	-	14,335,424
Total for ECS 84362, 50% Law		\$74,276,222	\$ -	\$74,276,222	\$ 148,455,054	\$ -	\$ 148,455,054
Percent of CEE (Instructional Salary							
Cost/Total CEE)		50.03%		50.03%	100.00%		100.00%
50% of Current Expense of Education					\$ 74,227,527		\$ 74,227,527

Proposition 30 Education Protection Account (EPA) Expenditure Report Year Ended June 30, 2022

Activity Classification	Object Code			Unres	trict	ed
EPA Revenue:	8630				\$	39,349,074
		Salaries	Operating			
	Activity	and Benefits	Expenses	Capital Outlay		
Activity Classification	Code	(Obj 1000-3000)	(Obj 4000-5000)	(Obj 6000)		Total
Instructional Activities	1000-5900	\$ 39,349,074	\$ -	\$ -	\$	39,349,074
Total Expenditures for EPA		\$ 39,349,074	\$ -	\$ -	\$	39,349,074
Revenues Less Expenditures					\$	-

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Net Position are different because

Total fund balance		
General Funds	\$ 31,433,535	
Special Revenue Funds	11,830,524	
Capital Project Funds	276,158,745	
Debt Service Funds	45,068,855	
Proprietary Funds	(464,424)	
Internal Service Funds	5,011,197	
Fiduciary Fund	16,789,026	
Total fund balance - all District funds		\$ 385,827,458
Total faria balance all bistrict farias		7 303,027,430
Amounts held in trust on behalf of others (OPEB Trust)		(16,789,026)
The District's investment in the City and County of San Francisco		
Investment Pool is reported at fair market value in the Statement		
of Net Position.		(11,304,513)
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial		
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported as assets in		
governmental funds.		
The cost of capital assets is	804,479,960	
Accumulated depreciation is	(468,885,538)	
Less: fixed assets already recorded in proprietary funds	(1,775)	
2000 Timed doubted an eddy recorded in proprietary rando	(2),,,,,	
Total capital assets, net		335,592,647
Deferred outflows of resources represent a consumption of net		
position in a future period and is not reported in the District's funds.		
Deferred outflows of resources at year-end consist of:		
Deferred outflows of resources related to debt refunding	5,367,599	
Deferred outflows of resources related to OPEB	36,334,538	
Deferred outflows of resources related to pensions	40,709,273	
T		82,411,410
Total deferred outflows of resources		- , , -
		- , , -
In governmental funds, unmatured interest on long-term liabilities is recognized in the period when it is due. On the government-wide		-,,,
In governmental funds, unmatured interest on long-term liabilities is		-,,,
In governmental funds, unmatured interest on long-term liabilities is recognized in the period when it is due. On the government-wide		(674,332)

Reconciliation of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Net Position Year Ended June 30, 2022

Long-term liabilities, including bonds payable, are not due and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported as liabilities in the funds. Long-term liabilities at year end consist of:

ing term habilities at year end consist or.	
General obligation bonds	\$ (476,414,502)
Compensated absences	(8,537,052)
Load banking	(2,144,387)
Supplemental early retirement plan	(2,054,898)
Other long-term liability	(14,897,221)
Aggregate net other postemployment benefits (OPEB) liability,	
less amounts accrued in the proprietary funds	(146,752,804)

Aggregate net pension liability (27,808,688)

Total long-term liabilities \$ (678,609,552)

Deferred inflows of resources represent an acquisition of net position in a future period and is not reported in the District's funds. Deferred inflows of resources amount to and related to

Deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB (9,958,556)
Deferred inflows of resources related to pensions (164,476,155)

Total deferred inflows of resources (174,434,711)

Total net position (deficit) \$ (77,980,619)

#### Note 1 - Purpose of Schedules

#### **District Organization**

This schedule provides information about the District's governing board members, administration members, and auxiliary organizations in good standing as of June 30, 2022.

#### **Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards**

#### **Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (the Schedule) includes the federal award activity of the District under programs of the federal government for the year ended June 30, 2022. The information is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the District, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position, or cash flows of the District.

#### **Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

Expenditures reported in the Schedule are reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting, except for subrecipient expenditures, which are recorded on the cash basis. When applicable, such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement.

#### **Indirect Cost Rate**

The District has not elected to use the 10% de minimis cost rate.

#### **Loan Programs**

Expenditures reported in the Schedule consist of the beginning of the year outstanding loan balance plus advances made on the loan during the year. The outstanding balance at June 30, 2022, was \$1,157,620.

#### **Schedule of Expenditures of State Awards**

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of State Awards includes the state grant activity of the District and is presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the financial statements. The information in this schedule is presented to comply with reporting requirements of the California State Chancellor's Office.

#### Schedule of Workload Measures for State General Apportionment Annual (Actual) Attendance

FTES is a measurement of the number of students attending classes of the District. The purpose of attendance accounting from a fiscal standpoint is to provide the basis for making apportionments of State funds to community college districts. This schedule provides information regarding the attendance of students based on various methods of accumulating attendance data.

#### Reconciliation of Education Code Section 84362 (50 Percent Law) Calculation

ECS 84362 requires the District to expend a minimum of 50% of the unrestricted General Fund monies on salaries of classroom instructors. This is reported annually to the State Chancellor's Office. This schedule provides a reconciliation of the amount reported to the State Chancellor's Office and the impact of any audit adjustments and/or corrections noted during the audit.

#### Proposition 30 Education Protection Account (EPA) Expenditure Report

This schedule provides the District's EPA revenue and summarizes the expenditures of EPA funds.

#### Reconciliation of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Net Position

This schedule provides a reconciliation of the adjustments necessary to bring the District's internal fund financial statements, prepared on a modified accrual basis, to the government-wide full accrual basis financial statements required under GASB Statements No. 34 and No. 35 business-type activities reporting model.



Independent Auditor's Reports June 30, 2022

## San Francisco Community College District



## Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

Board of Trustees San Francisco Community College District San Francisco, California

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the business-type activities and the remaining fund information of San Francisco Community College District (the District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2022, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated January 26, 2023.

#### **Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified.

#### **Report on Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We noted certain matters that we reported to management of the District in a separate letter dated January 26, 2023.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Rancho Cucamonga, California

Esde Sailly LLP

January 26, 2023



## Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control over Compliance Required by the Uniform Guidance

Board of Trustees San Francisco Community College District San Francisco, California

#### **Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program**

#### Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

We have audited San Francisco Community College District's (the District) compliance with the types of compliance requirements identified as subject to audit in the OMB *Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the District's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2022. The District's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

In our opinion, the District complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2022.

#### Basis for Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS); the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (*Government Auditing Standards*); and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Our responsibilities under those standards and the Uniform Guidance are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the District and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the District's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

#### Responsibilities of Management for Compliance

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the District's federal programs.

#### Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the District's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material, if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the District's compliance with the requirements of each major federal program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and
  design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include
  examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the District's compliance with the compliance
  requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered
  necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the District's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit
  in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and
  report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not
  for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control
  over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

#### **Report on Internal Control over Compliance**

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance may exist that were not identified.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Rancho Cucamonga, California

Ede Saelly LLP

January 26, 2023



#### **Independent Auditor's Report on State Compliance**

Board of Trustees San Francisco Community College District San Francisco, California

#### **Report on State Compliance**

We have audited San Francisco Community College District's (the District) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the 2021-2022 California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office *Contracted District Audit Manual* applicable to the state laws and regulations listed in the table below for the year ended June 30, 2022.

#### **Opinion**

In our opinion, the District complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that are applicable to the State programs noted in the table below that were audited for the year ended June 30, 2022.

#### **Basis for Opinion**

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS); the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (*Government Auditing Standards*); and the standards and procedures identified in the 2021-2022 California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office *Contracted District Audit Manual*. Our responsibilities under those standards and the 2021-2022 California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office *Contracted District Audit Manual* are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the District and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on state compliance with the compliance requirements subject to audit in the 2021-2022 California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office *Contracted District Audit Manual*. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the District's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

#### Responsibilities of Management for Compliance

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the District's compliance with the requirements listed in the table below.

#### Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements listed in the table below has occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the District's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the 2021-2022 California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office *Contracted District Audit Manual* will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material, if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the District's compliance with the requirements listed in the table below.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the 2021-2022 California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office *Contracted District Audit Manual*, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and
  design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include
  examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the District's compliance with the compliance
  requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered
  necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the District's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit
  in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the
  purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over
  compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any material noncompliance with the requirements listed in the table below that we identified during the audit.

#### **Compliance Requirements Tested**

In connection with the audit referred to above, we selected and tested transactions and records to determine the District's compliance with State laws and regulations applicable to the following:

Section 411	SCFF Data Management Control Environment
Section 412	SCFF Supplemental Allocation Metrics
Section 413	SCFF Success Allocation Metrics
Section 421	Salaries of Classroom Instructors (50 Percent Law)
Section 423	Apportionment for Activities Funded From Other Sources
Section 424	Student Centered Funding Formula Base Allocation: FTES
Section 425	Residency Determination for Credit Courses
Section 426	Students Actively Enrolled
Section 427	Dual Enrollment (CCAP)
Section 430	Scheduled Maintenance Program
Section 431	Gann Limit Calculation
Section 444	Apprenticeship Related and Supplemental Instruction (RSI) Funds
Section 475	Disabled Student Programs and Services (DSPS)
Section 490	Propositions 1D and 51 State Bond Funded Projects
Section 491	Education Protection Account Funds
Section 492	Student Representation Fee
Section 499	COVID-19 Response Block Grant Expenditures

The District reports no Apportionment for Activities Funded From Other Sources; therefore, the compliance tests within this section were not applicable.

The purpose of this report on state compliance is solely to describe the results of our testing based on the requirements of the 2021-2022 California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office *Contracted District Audit Manual*. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Rancho Cucamonga, California

Esde Saelly LLP

January 26, 2023



Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs June 30, 2022

## San Francisco Community College District

#### **FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Type of auditor's report issued Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting

Material weaknesses identified No

Significant deficiencies identified not considered

to be material weaknesses None Reported

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?

#### **FEDERAL AWARDS**

Internal control over major programs

Material weaknesses identified No

Significant deficiencies identified not considered

to be material weaknesses None Reported

Type of auditor's report issued on compliance

for major programs Unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported

in accordance with Uniform Guidance 2 CFR 200.516(a): No

#### Identification of major programs:

for state programs:

Name of Federal Program or Cluster	Federal Assistance Listing Number/ Federal CFDA Number
COVID-19: Higher Education Emergency Relief Funds, Student Portion COVID-19: Higher Education Emergency Relief Funds,	84.425E
Institutional Portion COVID-19: Higher Education Emergency Relief Funds, Minority Serving Institutions	84.425F 84.425L
COVID-19: Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds	21.027
Adult Basic Education and ELA Adult Secondary Education	84.002A 84.002A
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs:	\$1,332,527
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	No
STATE COMPLIANCE	
Type of auditor's report issued on compliance	

Unmodified

None reported.

None reported.

### San Francisco Community College District State Compliance Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended June 30, 2022

None reported.

Except as specified in previous sections of this report, summarized below is the current status of all audit findings reported in the prior year's schedule of findings and questioned costs.

#### **Financial Statement Findings**

#### 2021-001 Financial Condition of the District - Going Concern

#### **Criteria or Specific Requirement**

Pursuant to *Education Code* Section 84040, the Board of Governors is required to adopt criteria and standards for the periodic assessment of the fiscal condition of California community college districts. The main criteria for assessment will be the percentage of unrestricted General Fund balance to all expenditures and other outgo of unrestricted General Fund. The minimum prudent unrestricted General Fund balance is 5% for community colleges. This minimum prudent level is considered necessary to ease cash flow problems, to deal with unexpected cost increases, and other fiscal uncertainties. If a district falls below this minimum prudent level, further review will be performed to determine if any fiscal problems exist.

#### Condition

Material Weakness - The District ended the 2020-2021 year with \$7,350,846 in available reserves, which was comprised of unassigned balances held in the unrestricted General Fund. This amount represented 4.45% of the District's total expenditures and other financing uses. For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2021, the District's unrestricted General Fund revenues and other financing sources exceeded the District's expenditures and other financing uses by \$7,657,319.

#### **Questioned Costs**

There were no questioned costs associated with the condition identified.

#### **Context**

The condition was originally identified as a material weakness during the year ended June 30, 2018 through the review of the District's reserve balances and the District's adopted budget for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2019 and 2020. For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2021, the District's unrestricted General Fund operating surplus created an 83% increase in the unrestricted General Fund ending fund balance. Based on the District's adopted budget for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2022, the District may be able to restore its unrestricted General Fund reserve balances to the minimum 5%. The 2021-2022 adopted budget includes further decreases in salary costs of nearly \$19 million. Historically, such decreases have been a challenge for the District. Additionally, a significant portion of revenues included in the unrestricted General Fund for the year ending June 30, 2021 were related to one-time funding.

#### **Effect**

The District's fund balance and historical structural deficit raises substantial doubt about the District's ability to continue as a going concern.

#### Cause

The significant factors contributing to the District's condition include the following:

1) Significant deficit spending that occurred in prior fiscal years within the unrestricted General Fund.

2. Decline in revenues cause by loss of stability funding in the 2016-2017 fiscal year, combined with increasing cost of payroll and benefits creating a structural deficit.

#### Repeat Finding (Yes or No)

Yes. See prior year finding 2020-001 in the summary schedule of prior audit findings.

#### Recommendation

The District must actively monitor its budget to actual results to ensure that the District can maintain adequate unrestricted General Fund reserves. More importantly, the District should develop an aggressive fiscal management and budget stabilization plan that identifies a viable correction plan to ensure that fiscal solvency can be sustained. The stabilization plan should incorporate adequate expenditure mitigation objectives that can create an additional layer of "cushion" beyond the minimum reserve requirement. This will allow the District to accommodate future uncertainties in operational variances without impairing the District's reserves.

#### **Current Status**

Implemented.

#### 2021-002 Self-Insurance Fund Deficit Retained Earnings

#### **Criteria or Specific Requirement**

The accounting system used to record the financial affairs of any community college district shall be in accordance with the definitions, instructions, and procedures published in the California Community Colleges *Budget and Accounting Manual* (BAM). Colleges are also required to use fund accounting. A fund is defined as a fiscal and accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts recording cash and other financial resources, together with all related liabilities and residual equities or balances, and changes therein, which are segregated for the purpose of carrying on specific activities or attaining certain objectives in accordance with special regulations, restrictions, or limitations.

#### Condition

*Material Weakness* – The Self-Insurance Fund had a deficit retained earnings balance of \$3,907,424 as of June 30, 2021.

#### **Questioned Costs**

There are no questioned costs associated with this finding.

#### Context

A deficit fund balance was originally identified at June 30, 2020. The beginning retained earnings balance of the Self-Insurance Fund as of June 30, 2020 was a deficit balance of \$1,987,974, which further decreased during the year ending June 30, 2021. In the future, the deficit retained earnings of \$3,907,424 may require the District to encroach on the Unrestricted General Fund to cover costs of claims associated with the self-insured workers' compensation claims liability.

#### **Effect**

The Self-Insurance Fund has liabilities in excess of its total assets, resulting in a deficit retained earnings which encroaches on the Unrestricted General Fund's ending fund balance.

#### Cause

The deficit appears to have been caused by costs paid by the Self-Insurance Fund, in excess of in-District contributions and transfers from other funds to cover such costs.

#### Repeat Finding (Yes or No)

Yes. See prior year finding 2020-002 in the summary schedule of prior audit findings.

#### Recommendation

The District should review the activities with the Self-Insurance Fund and determine a funding plan to ensure the fund does not remain in a deficit. The District's year-end closing process should include a reconciliation and review process over fund balances to ensure ending balances are not in deficit. Deficit ending fund balances should be researched and reviewed prior to year-end closing.

#### **Current Status**

Implemented.

#### 2021-003 Load Banking

#### **Criteria or Specific Requirement**

The District offers load banking to eligible faculty employees whereby the employee may teach extra courses in one period in exchange for time off in another period. If the employee retires or is terminated before they utilize their accrued load baking balance, the District will pay the employee for the equivalent amount. The District records a long-term liability for this load banking balance.

#### **Condition**

Significant Deficiency – For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2021, the District's load banking balance included a deficit amount owed from faculty employees. In essence, faculty employees did not teach or taught less than required for a full-time faculty, but were paid their full salary without having an adequate balance in their load banking account.

#### **Questioned Costs**

There were no questioned costs associated with the condition identified.

#### Context

The deficit amounts noted in the load banking balance totaled \$707,924 at June 30, 2021.

#### **Effect**

The District has spent funds for faculty employees, but did not receive the services from the employee.

#### Cause

The cause appears to be lack of monitoring and oversight of the faculty employee load banking balance and requests in previous years. During the year ended June 30, 2021, the District implemented procedures to monitor and reduce deficit balances owed. However, the District will need to continue monitoring the balance to ensure the deficit is further reduced to a minimal amount.

#### Repeat Finding (Yes or No)

Yes. See prior year finding 2020-003 in the summary schedule of prior audit findings.

#### Recommendation

The District should continue monitoring to ensure no faculty employee utilizes more paid leave than they have accrued in their load banking balance. Additionally, the District should work with faculty employees to plan when they will teach extra courses to eliminate their deficit load banking balance.

#### **Current Status**

Implemented.

#### **Federal Awards Findings**

#### 2021-004 Allowable Costs

Program Name: COVID-19: Higher Education Emergency Relief Funds (HEERF), Institutional Portion

**Assistance Listing Number: 84.425F** 

Federal Agency: U.S. Department of Education

Pass-Through Entity: Direct Funded

#### **Criteria or Specific Requirement**

Uniform Guidance, 2 CFR 200.414: Indirect (F&A) costs

Uniform Guidance, 2 CFR 200.1: Definitions

Any non-Federal entity that does not have a current negotiated (including provisional) rate, except for those non-Federal entities described in appendix VII to this part, paragraph D.1.b, may elect to charge a de minimis rate of 10% of modified total direct costs (MTDC).

Modified Total Direct Cost (MTDC) means all direct salaries and wages, applicable fringe benefits, materials and supplies, services, travel, and up to the first \$25,000 of each subaward (regardless of the period of performance of the subawards under the award). MTDC excludes equipment, capital expenditures, charges for patient care, rental costs, tuition remission, scholarships and fellowships, participant support costs and the portion of each subaward in excess of \$25,000. Other items may only be excluded when necessary to avoid a serious inequity in the distribution of indirect costs, and with the approval of the cognizant agency for indirect costs.

#### Condition

Significant Deficiency and Noncompliance — The District incorrectly calculated indirect costs for the Institutional Portion of the HEERF program. The District utilized the de minimis indirect cost rate of 10%, but should have used their approved negotiated indirect cost rate which is valid through June 30, 2022. Additionally, the District included amounts recorded for lost revenue in their direct cost base. Lost revenues represent an estimate of revenues that were not earned by the District due to the coronavirus pandemic. They do not represent expenses of the District, and do not meet the definition of MTDC for inclusion in the indirect cost base. Additionally, they would not have met the requirements of the approved negotiated indirect cost rate agreement, which stipulates a direct cost base of salaries and wages including fringe benefits.

#### **Questioned Costs**

Questioned costs are \$427,867 at June 30, 2021, which is the difference of the indirect cost charged to the program, and the indirect costs recalculated using the District's approved negotiated indirect cost rate agreement.

#### Context

The District spent \$17,714,419 under the COVID-19: Higher Education Emergency Relief Funds (HEERF), Institutional Portion. The District spent \$21,353,385 associated with the Education Stabilization Fund program (ALN 84.425) in total.

#### **Effect**

The District is not in compliance with Allowable Costs requirements.

#### Cause

The District's indirect cost was not calculated in accordance with their approved negotiated indirect cost rate agreement.

#### Repeat Finding (Yes or No)

No.

#### Recommendation

It is recommended that the District implement review process for indirect cost calculation, which should include review of the approved negotiated indirect cost rate agreements, relevant grant agreements, and relevant federal guidance.

#### **Current Status**

Implemented.

#### **2021-005** Reporting

Program Name: COVID-19: Higher Education Emergency Relief Funds (HEERF), Institutional Portion

**Assistance Listing Number: 84.425F** 

Federal Agency: U.S. Department of Education

Pass-Through Entity: Direct Funded

#### **Criteria or Specific Requirement**

Section 18004(a)(1) of The Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act required that institutions that received the HEERF 18004(a)(1) Institutional Aid Portion award to publicly post the HEERF quarterly reporting form on their website no later than 10 days after the end of each calendar quarter, or as directed by the U.S. Department of Education.

#### **Condition**

Significant Deficiency and Noncompliance — During our testing over reporting for the institutional aid portion, we noted that the report required to be publicly available by January 10, 2021 following the end of the quarter ending December 31, 2020 available was posted on January 19, 2021 and therefore, the District did not meet the timeliness requirement.

#### **Questioned Costs**

There were no questioned costs associated with the condition identified.

#### Context

The District is required to report institutional grant metrics and other data within 10 days of the end of each calendar quarter, or as directed by the U.S. Department of Education. A sample of two reports was reviewed for compliance, with one report not submitted in a timely manner.

#### **Effect**

The College's quarterly report was not uploaded to their website within the required timeframe.

#### Cause

Staffing changes in the departments responsible for completing and posting the report resulted in delays.

#### Repeat Finding (Yes or No)

Yes. See prior year finding 2020-005 in the summary schedule of prior audit findings.

#### Recommendation

The District should ensure that reporting requirements and deadlines are clearly communicated to all staff, and procedures in place to ensure requirements and deadlines are met. The District should also ensure all documentation to support amounts reported is maintained in accordance with document retention guidelines.

#### **Current Status**

Implemented.

#### 2021-006 Activities Allowed or Unallowed and Allowable Costs

Program Name: Adult Basic Education and ELA, Adult Secondary Education

Assistance Listing Number: 84.002A

Federal Agency: U.S. Department of Education

Pass-Through Entity: California Department of Education

#### **Criteria or Specific Requirement**

The Uniform Guidance states that personnel costs for Federal programs should represent only "reasonable amounts for activities contributing and directly related to work under an agreement". Charges to Federal awards for salaries and wages must be based on records that accurately reflect the work performed. (2 CFR 200.430).

#### Condition

Material Weakness – Time and effort reporting, or another similar internal control activity to retroactively verify employee time spent on the program, was not performed consistently or in a timely manner for the year ending June 30, 2021.

#### **Questioned Costs**

There are no questioned costs associated with this finding.

#### Context

The federal program referenced above had a total of \$912,485 in expenditures for the year ended June 30, 2021, of which \$898,779 was associated with salaries and benefits.

#### **Effect**

The District is not in compliance with the federal requirements over personnel costs described in the Uniform Guidance. Additionally, without effective internal controls in place over personnel costs, the District risks noncompliance for program costs that could be material.

#### Cause

The District's review of personnel charges to identify the employee costs that should and should not be charged to the federal program was not performed consistently, or in a timely manner.

#### Repeat Finding (Yes or No)

Yes. See prior year finding 2020-006 in the summary schedule of prior audit findings.

#### Recommendation

The District should monitor personnel costs for federal program in accordance with their policies and procedures. The District should review personnel costs on a regular basis to ensure that costs charged are supported by allowable activities directly related to the program. Additionally, adequate supporting documentation should be retained for personnel charges for Federal grants.

#### **Current Status**

Implemented.

#### **State Compliance Findings**

#### 2021-007 Section 491 – Education Protection Account Funds

#### **Criteria or Specific Requirement**

California Constitution Article XIII section 36(e)(6) states that a community college district shall have sole authority to determine how the moneys received from the Education Protection Account are spent in the school or schools within its jurisdiction, provided, however, that the appropriate governing board or body shall make these spending determinations in open session of a public meeting of the governing board or body and shall not use any of the funds from the Education Protection Account for salaries or benefits of administrators or any other administrative costs. Each community college district shall annually publish on its Internet Web site an accounting of how much money was received from the Education Protection Account and how that money was spent.

#### **Condition**

The governing board did not make a spending determination in an open session of a public meeting of the governing board or body. The governing board did not publish on its Internet website an accounting of how it spent the money it received from the Education Protection Account.

#### **Questioned Costs**

There were no questioned costs. Based on testing EPA funds were spent on allowable expenses.

#### **Context**

The District expensed the Education Protection Account funds of \$32,536,173 without holding an open session to make the spending determination for the funds received.

#### **Effect**

The District is not in compliance with state requirements.

#### Cause

The District governing board did not hold an open session to make spending determination for the Education Protection Account funds received.

#### Repeat Finding (Yes or No)

Yes. See prior year finding 2020-007 in the summary schedule of prior audit findings.

#### Recommendation

The governing board should hold an open session public meeting where they take action to adopt a plan in the form of a Board resolution to expend Education Protection Account funds received.

#### **Current Status**

Implemented.