Time to complete: 09:26

OIVC

Kristin Charles (10)

1. Standard	0 / 0 pts
◎IA	Auto-graded
○IB	
○IC	
OIIA	
OIIB	
OIIC	
OIIIA	
OIIIB	
OIIIC	
OIIID	
○IVA	
IVB	

	Subsection #	0 / 0 pts
	<u>1</u>	Auto-graded
	2	
	<u>3</u>	
	4	
	_5	
	<u>6</u>	
	7	
	<u>8</u>	
	<u>9</u>	
	<u>10</u>	
	<u></u>	
	<u>12</u>	
	<u>13</u>	
	<u>14</u>	
	<u></u>	
	<u>16</u>	
).	The evidence (check all that apply):	0 / 0 pts
	Is organized/flows according to the Standard (each element is addressed in the order it appears in the subsection)	Auto-graded
	Speaks to the Standard	
	Does not exceed the scope of the Standard	
	Is complete	
	Does not meet any of the criteria listed here	

4. Please comment on the evidence.

/ 0 pts Auto-graded

Reorganize the evidence to flow according to the Standard:

- 1. Student Achievement metrics (www.ccsf.edu/metrics is indeed where we post this data, but point specifically to "Student Equity and Success" link)
- 2. Student Learning metrics
- 3. Use of data central to planning/budgeting (Program Review/Annual Plans) - reframe to focus on how the Mission "directs institutional priorities" and include Chart D1 of RRP Handbook as evidence to show connection between Mission/Board-set priorities/data serve as the basis for Program Review (current link for RRP Handbook is https://archive.ccsf.edu/dam/Organizational_Assets/About_CCSF/Admin/ PGC/RRPHandbookFINAL2016-05-26.pdf; we are in the process of updating, so this is a placeholder)
- 4. Education Master Plan use of data
- 5. Board review of data

Remove COVID example (exceeds the scope of the Standard)

5. The Analysis and Evaluation section (check all that apply):

/ 0 pts Auto-graded

	Is organized/flows according to the Standard (each element is addressed in the order it appears in the subsection)
	Utilizes language from the Standard
	Doesn't exceed the scope of the Standard
	Is based on the evidence
~	Someone less well versed in the content area of the Standard can understand the conclusions
	Is believable/substantiated by the evidence
	Does not meet any of the criteria listed here

6. Please comment on the analysis and evaluation section

/ 0 pts Auto-graded

Remove reference to COVID example (exceeds the scope of the Standard)

Consider reframing the Analysis and Evaluation along the lines of the fol-

Our Mission directs institutional priorities by serving as the basis for our Education Master Plan (which contains data regarding how well we fulfill our Mission), both of which in turn drive Board Goals. Annual Plans/Comprehensive Program Review connect to all three (Mission, EMP, Board Goals) and implementation plans related to the Mission/EMP