



Participatory Governance Evaluation

PRESENTED 9/1/22

INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS / OFFICE OF RESEARCH & PLANNING

Participatory Governance Evaluation Overview

PGC evaluation is an essential part our reflective process for continuous improvement

- Intent is to assure “effectiveness in supporting academic quality and accomplishment of mission” (Standard 1.B.7.)
- Recent emphasis has been on effectiveness of PGC Committees
 - Committee Objectives to foster and communicate focus
 - Evaluation survey to identify improvements areas

Accomplishments

- PGC Orientation (9/2/2021, 9/1/2022)
- PGC Agenda Review Group has been meeting regularly
- Standing Committee Objectives updated/refreshed in Fall 2021
- 21 Standing Committee reports to PGC during 2021-2022

Areas to consider for 2022-2023

- Schedule of Standing Committee presentations to PGC (include expectation of short written report)
- Training for Committee Chairs (consider for Spring 2023)
- Transition to Board Docs (process and support need to be worked out)

PGC Evaluation Survey 2022 - participation

Administered May 2022
 108 PGC and Committee members and alternates invited
 34 responses representing all constituencies and committees



PGC Evaluation Survey 2022 - results

Top 3 priorities for training and associated discussions for PGC members and alternates in Fall 2022:

1. Processes for making recommendations
2. Roles, Responsibilities, and Processes Handbook (RRP)
3. Relationship between the Council and its Committees

Importance to inform facilitation training for PGC Committee chairs and co-chairs (% rated "very important"):

- Ensure all constituency voices are heard (94%)
- Assure meetings have clear take-aways (88%)
- Promote engagement (85%)
- Eliminate overpowering / aggressive behaviors (79%)

PGC Evaluation Survey 2022 - results

Please share any additional comments or suggestions regarding this new process. (n=10)



Do you have any other comments or suggestions regarding PGC and its standing committees? (n=11)



Please share any additional suggestions to inform facilitation training for PGC Committee chairs and co-chairs (n=8)

