Participatory Governance Planning Committee and Program Review Rankings

Informal notes provide by Kim Ginther Webster:
On March 7 the 3 Vice Chancellors presented their program review priorities for funding for next year. Pam Mery briefly showed the Chancellor’s rankings. These are available on the Program Review site, at the top of the 2012-13 program reviews. http://www.ccsf.edu/NEW/en/employee-services/research-planning-and-grants/planning/program_review/review_2011-2012.html

NOTE: These 4 sets have to be combined into a single list, the Chancellor and Vice-Chancellors have not yet completed this process.

Scores from the rubrics and rankings do not necessarily match on these sheets – each VC took a different approach in ranking and then reviewing with their Deans and staff, and moved things around as feedback was gathered.

The Academic Affairs list is not in ranked order: similar things are grouped. For instance, the staffing requests are clustered lower on the list than others, this does not mean they are not high priority. It was not clear to me what the top items are, this should become clearer as the lists are combined.

Discussion at the meeting included issues that were noticed or left out as program review progressed (for instance, how technology requests are included); how the process will need to be modified over time; how the rubrics need to be fleshed out; how we assess this first pass at the revised program review for improvements; and how we incorporate assessment of the planning and budget choices we make this time around into the future cycle. Much more discussion will take place on these matters.