Present
Leonard Arnold, Adam D’Acquisto, John Bilmont, Ronald Bixler, Philip R. Day, Jr., Richard Gale,, Robert Gabriner, David Gallerani, Peter Goldstein, Don Griffin, Donald Lind, Susan Lopez, Madeline Mueller, Dennis Piontkowski, James Rogers, Athena Steff, Fred Teti, David Yee

The PBC approved the draft minutes from May 16 and May 30.

I. Report on the State Budget
1. Chancellor Day reported that SB361 (which contains the allocation formulas for credit equalization and the first of the four noncredit reapportionment installments) passed the Assembly with no opposition. It must return to the Senate floor for concurrence.
2. Vice Chancellor Goldstein highlighted the League’s summary of the State budget as it affects the community colleges. He drew particular attention to
   • student fee reduction from $26/unit to $20/unit, effective Spring 2007
   • ~$273M one-time Prop 98 restoration, with conditions on spending

II. Preview of District Budget 2006–2007
1. Vice Chancellor Goldstein discussed the assumptions made about enrollment, COLA, growth, matriculation, Financial Aid, DSPS, credit equalization, and noncredit reapportionment in preparing the District budget. He noted that
   • Most but not all noncredit FTES are eligible for reapportionment. Some noncredit FTES will stay at the old rate, depending on the subject area.
   • Our credit equalization amount may change if we decide to shift 1800 FTES from 2005–2006 to 2006–2007.
   • Shifting the enrollment risks ~$500K in one-time funds, but could yield ~$5.3M in funds that go into the base, thereby generating additional future revenue.
   • It is important for the District to restore the money borrowed from the reserve last year.
2. Goldstein distributed copies of a worksheet detailing conservative revenue estimates. He made note of the “strings” attached to basic skills funding, program augmentation, and scheduled maintenance spending.

III. Update on Budget Review Process
1. Dr. Day outlined some of the outcomes from last semester’s review process, and reminded the PBC that there are lists of programs to be closely watched, and programs for further, more detailed, scrutiny. This continued scrutiny should happen during this semester, through a process to be worked out between the PBC and the Program Review Committee.
2. Fortuitously, this will help us address a WASC recommendation asking that we better align program review with our planning and budgeting process.

IV. Support for Board Resolution to Contract with Lobbyists for Noncredit Funding

2006–08–24–01
Whereas, CCSF has benefited from the lobbying efforts of California Strategies and BMWL in the 2005–2006 academic year,
Resolved, That the PBC support Board resolution 2008–08–31–B12, contracting with California Strategies and BMWL for the 2006–2007 academic year.