1. Approval of Minutes – Minutes for April 5 approved as amended, Minutes for April 19 were approved.

2. Program Review Recommendations – PRC co-chairs presented the second half of the PRC report addressing “Resources Needed” as identified within the program review documents. Some modifications were requested based upon a discussion about the role of PRC relative to CPBC. In particular, CPBC members appreciated the PRC’s overview statements regarding units’ general resource needs (based on 121 units submitting reviews); however, at this time the Council does not want PRC to make recommendations vis-à-vis any unit-specific requests. Also, Council members asked that all references to classified staffing be removed given the purview of the Vacancy Review Group (VRG), which by current contract oversees classified hires. The co-chairs will amend the report accordingly and present again at the next meeting. Once the report is accepted, its general observations can be used as a primary mechanism for informing the upcoming Annual Plan. Completed program reviews and associated documents will continue to serve as resources throughout the coming academic year.

3. Accreditation Update – The Council discussed concerns about being behind the desired timeline. Next drafts will available for review before the end of the semester; however, there is need for additional assistance on writing and assembling evidence so that the report can reflect the institution’s richness.

4. Government Relations Update – The Council discussed parcel tax options. In January there was a Resolution to conduct another poll, and an RFP went out. The goal is to have the results of the poll to the Board by June, then hold a public hearing in July--probably as a special meeting. The Board will then make the final decision at its regular July meeting regarding whether to move forward with a November parcel tax measure. Questions were raised about costs, possible competing issues, and possible restrictions on the use of the money should the parcel tax get approved. Expenses are relatively high, but the need is high as well. Pensions may be a competing issue. Money would go into the general fund but should be spent according to what gets indicated on the ballot. This issue will also be discussed at College Advisory Council.

The need for a parcel tax measure in November was affirmed.

5. Budget Update 2010-11 – No significant updates until details of the “May Revise” are announced.

6. Budget Strategies 2011-12 – Not even a cost-to-continue budget. This needs more discussion at the next meeting.

7. Planning Update – Research & Planning is developing supplements to the Annual Planning and Long-Range Planning Gantt charts to help keep clarify and further the integration of planning, budgeting, and assessment.

8. Committee Reports & Associated Action Items
   i. Program Review (PRC) – See item 2 above.
   ii. Facilities Review (FRC) – No report or action items.
   iii. Faculty Position Allocation (FPAC) – No report or action items.