I. Meeting Schedule for Fall, 2011
The schedule for the Fall 2011 was distributed. Our meetings fall on Mondays before the Thursday meetings of our parent committee, CAC.

II. Shared Governance Handbook/Brochure
The new Shared Governance Handbook and Brochure was distributed for comments. Fred Teti, the 1st V.P. of the Academic Senate suggested replacing the current CCSF Shared Governance Organization Chart in the Handbook with the same one that appears in the CCSF Shared Governance Brochure as well as on the Office of Shared Governance website. Those charts accurately reflect that the Academic Senate may bypass the Chancellor and place issues in front of the Board of Trustees. Furthermore, it also allows for the notion that the Academic Senate may require the Board of Trustees to give a written explanation why the Senate’s recommendation was rejected by the Board.

III. Shared Governance Review boxes on Board Resolution Templates
The CIPR reviewed and discussed the recommended revisions to the Board of Trustees Resolution Templates based on the recommendation from the CAC. These recommendations were to reflect that part of the District Sunshine Policy, which is was approved to be a district policy in fall of 2009 within the existing framework of Board Policy on CCSF Shared Governance Policy guided by California Education Code equipments. The CIPR recommended the following changes to the Board Resolution Template;
- Insert a check off line, as the first line, if the resolution requires a shared governance review.
- Include the recommendations and the names of the 3 councils are/allowed to make recommendation to the Board (Academic Senate) or the Chancellor (Academic Senate, CAC, CPBC) as feedback on policies.
- Insert a line specifying which council was actually required to review the resolution.

IV. Shared Governance Evaluation
The CIPR reviewed the 2006 Shared Governance Evaluation questioner on which to build the new Shared Governance Evaluation for fall 2011. There were several changes and addition recommended. Probably the most significant changes was that the Department Chairs and the Faculty groups will be merged in to one group and the students, that were not included in the prior evaluation, will be also included as a separate group. The finalized revision from the CIPR will come back to the October meeting the CAC for recommendation.

V. Accreditation – Possible Discussion with Steering Team
There was a prolonged discussion about the Standard IVA within the group.