

This page left blank intentionally.

I. EVANS, RITA

- I-1. Ms. Evans makes two points in this comment: (a) evening traffic is much worse later in the evening than during the PM time used for analysis in the EIR, and (b) the worst traffic is in the morning, and the traffic counts should be redone for morning hours. For a discussion of the choice of the PM peak period and the hour within the PM peak period, please see the topical response **Transportation, Use of PM Peak Hour**, in **Section 10.0, Topical Responses**.
- I-2. Ms. Evans makes several points within this comment: (a) she would like to know how CCSF plans to persuade the City to make improvements along Havelock Street, and (b) she would like more details on the funding for the garage. For a discussion of these issues, see the topical responses **Transportation, Campus Access Impacts and Mitigation** and **Parking Fees**, in **Section 10.0, Topical Responses**.
- I-3. For a discussion of these issues, see the topical response **Transportation, Campus Access Impacts and Mitigation**, in **Section 10.0, Topical Responses**.
- I-4. See the topical response **Transportation, Improvements to Phelan Avenue**, in **Section 10.0, Topical Responses**.
- I-5. See the topical response **Transportation, Residential Permit Parking**, in **Section 10.0, Topical Responses**.
- I-6. As shown in Table 4.3-4, p. 4.3-24 of the Draft EIR, conditions at the intersection of Phelan Avenue would change from LOS B to LOS D with the Master Plan, and to LOS E with cumulative development. The analysis of cumulative impacts (Draft EIR, p. 4.3-39) notes that the cumulative impact would be significant. The required mitigation (which was developed in consultation with City staff) involves changing the length of the signal cycle (time) at the intersection. The City has not committed to its project to provide bike lanes on Phelan Avenue, but even if bike lanes were provided, the configuration of the intersection (number of lanes and where cars can go) would not change.
- The EIR preparers cannot say why the City does not plan capital improvements at the intersection of Phelan and Judson Avenues. CCSF is willing to coordinate with Riordan High School and the City to improve this intersection; a mitigation measure has been added to the EIR addressing such coordination (see **Section 12.0, Revisions to the Draft EIR**).
- I-7. Please see the topical response **Transportation and Circulation, Edna Street**, in **Section 10.0, Topical Responses**.
- I-8. It is agreed that some streets are of different widths than others in the study area. The Draft EIR traffic analysis considered the appropriate operational characteristics in the LOS analysis for each

study intersection. See the topical response **Transportation, Campus Access Impacts and Mitigation** in **Section 10.0, Topical Responses**.

I-9. This comment is noted for the record.