#12/#13 Leadership, Governance and Decision-making  
August 27, 2012  
Notes

Attendees: Francine Podenski, Tom Boegel, Andrew Chandler, Laurie Scolari, Hal Huntsman, Aaron Holmberg, Attila Gabor, Karen Saginor, Raja Sutherland, Pam Fisher, Gohar Momjian

Debrief on CCCL/AS Training with Scott Lay and Michelle Pilati – Workgroup members expressed their thoughts on the training. It was generally good to get info and training from outside experts and that all attendees got same info on Thursday. Workgroup members expressed disappointment on the low turnout of Trustees. Expect report from Lay/Pilati later in September.

To Do: Recommend adding to #14 -- ongoing professional development for trustees in this area.
Recommend adding to #14 -- review of academic senate agreement w/board regarding 10+1
Recommend adding to #14 – consider communications protocol/policy (i.e. line of communication between board members and staff)

There are some positive accreditation spin-offs – e.g. student government looking at how it organizes itself for improvement; e.g. Academic Senate looking at how it organizes itself for improvement.

Reviewed draft narratives of ideal policy – workgroup members suggested edits and added additional concepts, e.g. the role of committee chairs, how we operationalize values in the charge, adding term limits, training, pathways for ideas

The workgroup agreed in principle to the concept of having one “super-council” which is advisory.

To Do: Combine structure and values statement with add’l concepts (Raja, Andrew, Laurie)
Separate out academic senate portions of shared governance policy; think about other committees sections (Aaron, Hal)
Be ready to critique current shared governance policy (all)

Next meeting: Sept. 10, 3-5pm, Batmale 108